

January 31, 2012

Exhibit 1 Translation of Financial Strength Rating to Credit Market Scale

Financial Strength Rating	Credit Market Scale	
A++	aaa	Investment Grade
A+	aa+	
	aa-	
A	a+	
	a	
A-	a-	
B++	bbb+	Non-Investment Grade
	bbb	
B+	bbb-	
	bb+	
B	bb	
B-	bb-	
	b+	
C++	b	
	b-	
C+	ccc+	
C	ccc	
C-	ccc-	
	cc	
D	c	
E, F	rs	

Additional Information

Criteria:

Risk Management and the Rating Process
For Insurance Companies

Rating Members of Insurance Groups

BCAR for Title Insurance Companies

Analytical Contacts

Neil DasGupta, Oldwick
+1 (908) 439-2200 Ext. 5206
Neil.DasGupta@ambest.com

Michael Russo, Oldwick
+1 (908) 439-2200 Ext. 5372
Michael.Russo@ambest.com

A.M. Best will consider feedback from any interested party prior to publishing its methodology. Written comments can be submitted by e-mail to methodology.commentary@ambest.com, no later than March 2, 2012.

This methodology is available at
www.ambest.com/ratings/methodology

Draft: Rating Title Insurance Companies

A.M. Best recognizes that while its rating process generally is applicable to the rating of all insurance companies and groups, the evaluation of title insurance companies must take into account the key differences of title from other lines of insurance and the role that title insurance plays in the overall economy, as well as the susceptibility of title underwriting performance to economic volatility and changes in real estate cycles.

The title industry plays a critical role in the U.S. economy by facilitating the growth of the secondary mortgage market, as the process of insuring the proper transfer of real estate from seller to buyer is critical to the real estate transfer process. At any real estate closing, the parties involved must be assured that the title of the subject real property is as represented.

The functions of search and examination of title concerning the legal interest affecting the title to real property compose the title insurance underwriting process. The search-and-examination process very often includes the curing of defects to title necessary to complete the transaction. Since there are few properties with perfect title conditions, title insurance was developed to guarantee the current status of title. The title search and examination can require the search of numerous public documents, including tax, court judgment, deed, encumbrances, etc. Despite increasing automation, this process is often quite labor intensive. Title insurance policies are meant to indemnify an owner's or lender's financial interest in real property for any covered loss caused by a defect in title that existed as of the effective date of the policy.

The title insurance industry is highly concentrated, with four national writers accounting for approximately 90% of total industry premium. However, there are regional players with long-standing presence in local markets that do provide effective competition to the national writers.

While similar to certain property/casualty lines such as surety and boiler and machinery in its loss-prevention characteristics, title insurance involves the acceptance of past transactional events rather than future occurrence events associated with all other P/C exposures. In addition, title insurance, unlike most other property/casualty exposures, has no termination date and no time limitation on filing claims.

While comparisons on an operating ratio basis yield similar results for both title and P/C companies, there are important differences for combined ratio and investment ratio, which are the major components of the operating ratio. Since title insurance usually involves the acceptance of prior transaction-related risk rather than future risk, the underwriting process in the title insurance industry differs markedly from the typical property/casualty underwriting process. The title underwriting process is based on loss prevention



designed to limit risk exposure through a thorough search of the recorded documents affecting a particular property. Property/casualty underwriters are concerned with determining the probability of loss based on the characteristics of the insured, while title underwriters are concerned with reducing the possibility of loss by discovering as much information as possible about the past through extensive searches of public records and stringent examinations of title. This results in typical loss and loss-adjustment expense ratios of 4% to 10% for title insurers, compared with the 70% to 90% typical of the P/C industry.


The title industry is characterized by high fixed costs, with typical total underwriting expense ratios of 85% to 95%, unlike the P/C industry, where expense ratios are comparatively lower, ranging typically from 20% to 30%. This is because title insurers must physically produce policies, which is labor and system intensive. A typical property/casualty policy might involve filling out some basic information, while the title policy might require the transcription of a complex legal

description unique to the insured property, along with enumeration of often equally complex and unique terms of easements or other special property rights. In property and casualty lines, agents' commissions are generally in the range of approximately 5% to 25% of premium on policies that agents write. In title insurance, the agent retains a much larger proportion, generally 70% to 90% of the amount charged, depending on the state, which usually sets commission rates for title agents. Commissions for title insurance are more properly described as agent's retention or agent's labor or work charges. Agents' activities not only reflect a sales commission but also incorporate underwriting, loss prevention and administration costs that title insurers would incur if policies were issued directly. These unique characteristics of the title insurance industry, combined with the necessity of maintaining a title plant or searching public records, contribute to the high fixed costs. The general underwriting examination and search requirements, coupled with the disarray and geographical dispersion of records, has fostered the development of privately owned, indexed databases or title plants. These title plants must be maintained regardless of the level of real estate activity during any given period. The cost to maintain the economic life of a title plant and continuously update the records is moderately high. This is one factor adding to the higher overall fixed-cost percentage for title insurers as compared with property/casualty insurers.

Title insurers also typically generate far lower investment income from underwriting as compared with property/casualty companies because of the large, upfront commission payment to agents. These payments result in a smaller remaining portion of the original premium available for investments. The typical title insurer has an investment income ratio (investment income as a percentage of net written premium) of 3% to 6%, compared with 8% to 15% for a P/C company. Thus, while individual components of the operating ratio differ significantly when comparing title with P/C companies, the 10-year average operating ratios are similar at approximately 90% to 95%.

The title industry generally operates on a much higher premium-to surplus ratio

**Exhibit 2
Key Rating Components**




than does the average P/C insurer. This is due to title insurance being a loss-prevention line of business characterized by high fixed costs and typically low loss ratios. Therefore, surplus required to protect against unexpected events is typically lower. This differs significantly from the experience of property/casualty companies, which require a relatively larger surplus cushion to protect property underwriters from catastrophes or casualty underwriters from adverse loss-reserve development. Reported net-premium-to-surplus ratios typically range from 3-1 to 6-1 for the title insurance industry, compared with 2-1 or significantly less for the P/C industry.

Title insurers carry a statutory liability known as the statutory premium reserve (SPR), which is mandated by each state to be kept aside to provide ultimate loss protection to policyholders. This is in addition to the Known Claims Reserve (KCR). Rules for maintaining the level and length of time of these reserves vary by state. To compare companies domiciled in different states, the excess of the SPR over incurred but not reported (IBNR) reserves on a tax-effected basis is treated as an adjustment to surplus when calculating a title company's overall capitalization and its leverage and return-on-surplus ratios. The excess of the change in SPR over change in IBNR is treated as an adjustment to earnings when calculating the loss ratio as well as return on revenue.

Another factor that makes comparing ratios difficult between title companies is the different methods each state uses in accounting for title premium and agent fees. Some states use the Risk Rate (RR) method, which allows agents to deduct search-and-exam fees up front. Other states use the All-Inclusive (AI) method, whereby the insurer includes the search-and-exam fees in the commission it pays to the agent. The typical commission that the insurer pays to agents in "RR" states therefore is significantly lower than that of the "AI" states. This implies that those companies that primarily do business in "RR" states are likely to have significantly lower expense ratios than companies operating in "AI" states, thereby making combined ratios (termed "composite" ratio in title insurance terminology) difficult to compare

Exhibit 3 The Interactive Rating Process



between companies that primarily do business in different jurisdictions. Therefore, A.M. Best places greater emphasis on comparing results among title companies by using the return on surplus measure.

The title industry is more susceptible to changes in the real estate cycle than is the P/C industry. As real estate closings generate title orders, the title industry is far more dependent on changes in real estate market conditions, and therefore, title premiums and profitability are far more sensitive to changes in interest rates and availability of mortgage credit than they are in the P/C industry. The economy's disproportionate impact on title insurance, which is synchronized with the business cycle rather than the underwriting cycle that characterizes

Exhibit 4 Typical Rating Information Requested

1. Annual financial reports – latest five years preferably or credible projections for a less-experienced or start-up company
2. Audited financial statements for parent and subsidiary companies, if applicable
3. Actuarial reports, both internal and external
4. Corporate structure and history
5. Management structure and key executive committees
6. Biographical information on principal officers
7. Operating and business plans
8. Capital management strategies
9. Any other information management believes is relevant to the rating process
10. Any other reasonable information requested by A.M. Best, including but not limited to:
 - (a) Estimated impact (net and gross) from economic or other unusual events
 - (b) Details of changes in ownership, management, products or operations
 - (c) Projection of year-end results
 - (d) Plans to mitigate losses and/or to correct an identified problem
11. Pro forma projections for title insurer and parent, if applicable
12. History of losses and premiums
13. Organizational structure for parent organization and subsidiaries
14. Additional data as needed

the P/C industry, is a major factor in the evaluation of title insurance companies and their ability to withstand downturns in the economy and the real estate market. Since relative to P/C lines, a significant portion of the cost structure is fixed, sudden declines in revenue can have a disproportionate impact on profitability. Companies that have more flexible operating cost structures, as evidenced by better management of the distribution mix (agency versus direct), are in a better position to respond to rapidly changing economic conditions.

Title insurance companies are also subject to agency defalcation risk, especially during economic downturns. Defalcation occurs when agents illegally divert fiduciary escrow funds without authority. Defalcation losses are similar to catastrophe losses experienced by property/casualty insurers. Agent defalcation claims are the only shock-loss type of claim that has concentrated geographic reach, depending upon the region controlled by the defrauding agent.

Although A.M. Best's approach to the evaluation of a title insurer's financial strength and creditworthiness is similar to its approach toward other insurance entities, A.M. Best also recognizes within its evaluation certain unique aspects of title insurance that distinguish it from other forms of insurance.

Balance Sheet Strength

Balance sheet strength forms the base of the ratings "pyramid," as all securely rated companies must demonstrate adequate capitalization, which represents the foundation of a company's financial strength and flexibility. There are several criteria for measuring balance sheet strength, and while many of these criteria apply to all insurance entities, certain features unique to title insurers need to be incorporated in their evaluation for a more accurate analysis.

Leverage Tests and Capitalization

Measurement and analysis of a title insurer's underwriting, asset and financial leverage are key components in determining its capital adequacy. The primary objective of an evaluation of balance sheet strength is to determine the exposure of a company's surplus to operating and

financial risks. While a highly leveraged company can demonstrate strongly favorable rates of return on surplus, it also may be exposed to a greater degree of volatility in its earnings and may be hindered in its ability to withstand financial and market risk events. Companies that are more conservatively leveraged, however, may not show high rates of return on surplus, but they generally produce earnings that are less volatile and are generally better able to manage risks to surplus. Because title insurance is a loss-prevention line of business that results in lower loss ratios and a resulting low level of required loss reserves, title insurers can and often do have significantly higher premium leverage than their P/C counterparts. However, in measuring leverage ratios for title insurers, A.M. Best recognizes that because of statutory premium reserve requirements as mandated by title insurance regulators in most states, reported surplus generally is understated, which results in inflated leverage measures.

Ratios therefore are calculated both on a reported surplus basis and on surplus adjusted for excess statutory premium reserves when evaluating underwriting, asset and financial leverage. Leverage ratios on a reported basis tend to be significantly higher than those measured on an adjusted basis. Underwriting leverage includes premium writings to surplus, which is a measure of the exposure of a company's capital to risk of underpricing its book of business. Other measures of underwriting leverage include net liabilities to surplus, which measures exposure of unpaid obligations to surplus, and premiums and reserves ceded and related reinsurance recoverables to surplus, which measure reinsurance dependence. Since title insurance companies do not normally rely on traditional reinsurance, the latter measure is usually negligible. To assess the appropriateness of a company's underwriting leverage, consideration also is given to its geographic spread of risk; type of title insurance business written (residential versus commercial); origin of business written (purchase versus refinancing); and distribution mix (agency versus direct).

A.M. Best also evaluates asset leverage, which measures the exposure of a title

insurer's surplus to investment, credit and interest rate risks. These leverage measures take into account the credit quality, market volatility and asset-class concentration of a title insurer's investment portfolio and its impact on balance sheet strength. The quality and diversification of a company's asset base may also impact the amount of underwriting risk a company may assume for a given rating level.

A company's underwriting, financial and asset leverage also are subjected to an evaluation by the Best's Capital Adequacy Ratio (BCAR) model, which allows for an integrated review of these leverage measures (see *BCAR for Title Insurance Companies*).

A.M. Best also reviews a company's financial leverage, which measures to what extent debt or debt-like instruments may place a burden on a title insurer's earnings and consequently impact its cash flow. Common measures of financial leverage include debt-to-capital and debt-to-equity ratios, as well as coverage ratios, which measure the extent to which a company's earnings and cash flow cover interest payments and other fixed obligations. However, in determining whether a company's financial leverage is excessive, consideration also is given to management's history in managing debt. In cases where a title insurer has a parent, the analysis is conducted at the holding-company level. Holding companies and their associated capital structures can have a significant impact on a subsidiary company's financial strength. While holding-company structures can provide greater financial flexibility and access to capital to a title insurance subsidiary, any debt or debt-like obligations at the holding-company level also can reduce financial flexibility and place greater strain on future growth in earnings and surplus of the operating subsidiary. A holding company may have obligations comprising debt, preferred stock or hybrid securities in its capital structure. Therefore, A.M. Best places great emphasis on evaluating the quality of capital of both the operating company and its parent, reviewing both the debt and equity com-

ponents of individual securities in determining overall financial leverage.

Adequacy of Loss Reserves

Although title insurers have relatively low levels of case loss reserves called Known Claim Reserves (KCR), they also carry statutory premium reserves (SPR) as liabilities on their balance sheet. The comparison of SPR and KCR reserves to estimated IBNR and KCR determines whether a title insurer is reserved adequately. If SPR and KCR is less than the combined IBNR and KCR, then a supplemental reserve must be posted to make up the difference. However, if the SPR and KCR is greater than the IBNR and KCR, then the resulting "excess reserves" on a tax-effected basis are included as a component of surplus in A.M. Best's evaluation of a title insurer's overall capitalization.

Quality and Diversification of Assets

The quality and diversification of a title insurer's invested assets is an important indicator of its balance sheet strength and overall financial stability. The quality of assets is evaluated to assess the risk of default and premature liquidation. Greater diversification also contributes to greater stability of investment income and lessens the impact of a default on the balance sheet. A.M. Best reviews a title insurer's investment portfolio for any concentration of asset classes, geographic and industry spread of risk, and the presence of any large single investment that exceeds 10% of a company's total capital. Title insurers that hold illiquid, concentrated and/or speculative assets may experience high volatility in investment earnings from sudden changes in economic and market conditions, which could pose greater risks to policyholders' surplus.

Liquidity

A title insurer's liquidity impacts its ability to meet its short- and long-term obligations to policyholders and other creditors through the holding of liquid, high-quality investments and through solid operating cash flow. A high degree of liquidity enables a title insurer to meet unanticipated needs for cash without the untimely sale of investments and fixed assets that could result in significant realized losses due to adverse market conditions. A.M. Best evaluates

several liquidity measures, including quick liquidity, which measures a company's ability to meet short-term liquidity needs with cash or assets readily convertible to cash, and current liquidity, which measures a company's ability to meet its long-term liquidity needs with cash and unaffiliated invested assets. A.M. Best also reviews a company's operating and net cash flows as an indicator of its ability to meet liquidity needs, provided that they are positive, stable and large relative to cash requirements.

Operating Performance

Operating performance represents the second tier of the ratings "pyramid." As companies move up the ratings scale, this measure of financial strength takes on greater importance. To reinforce and enhance its balance sheet strength, a title insurer should demonstrate consistent, profitable statutory operating earnings over time. A.M. Best reviews the components of a company's statutory earnings over the most recent five-year period to assess the sources of growth in earnings and how profitability measures are trending. In the case of title insurance, where companies face high fixed costs and highly volatile revenues, profitability is correlated with the ability of a company to manage its variable expenses over the course of the business cycle. Generally, title insurers that have flexible cost structures and can anticipate and adapt to sudden changes in real estate markets, including changes in credit market conditions and rapid interest-rate movements, tend to demonstrate greater consistency in generating profitable operating earnings.

Profitability

A.M. Best reviews several areas of profitability, including underwriting, investments, capital gains and losses, and total operating earnings, on both a pretax and an after-tax basis. Similar to the adjustment made to reported surplus for any excess statutory premium reserves and KCR over IBNR and KCR, reported earnings also are adjusted for any potential "earnings credit" by calculating the difference between the change in SPR and the change in net IBNR. This credit then is applied to premium and operating earnings when calculating the combined ratio (called composite ratio in the title industry, which

also includes escrow and other fee income) and rates of return on operating revenue and surplus on an adjusted basis. A.M. Best also recognizes that there may be differences in composite ratios and return on revenue between title insurance companies, depending on their geographic mix of business. This is because some states, called "Risk Rate" states, exclude certain premiums and their associated expenses such as title agent fees and services as part of underwriting expenses. Other states, known as "All-Inclusive" states, require all fees and services to be accounted for when calculating underwriting income or loss. Since these fees often can be a large portion of a title company's underwriting expenses and premium base, they potentially can make comparisons of composite ratios and return on revenue difficult between companies that primarily operate in states with starkly different regulatory regimes. For this reason, A.M. Best places a greater emphasis on return-on-surplus measures when comparing operating performance across the industry.

Business Profile

Business profile represents the top tier of the "ratings pyramid" and is an important qualitative component of the overall rating evaluation. Along with solid capitalization and consistent, profitable operating performance, highly rated companies must demonstrate a strong business profile that reflects the title insurer's competitive market position, the degree of risk inherent in the company's book of business, and the quality and stability of its management, which can have a significant impact on its ability to manage enterprisewide risks as well as sustain and enhance its market position.

Geographic and Product Segment Spread of Risk

Title insurance is a monoline business that derives its income from the residential and commercial real estate industry. It is also subject to highly volatile revenue and earnings, as the demand for its product depends greatly on changes in the economic environment, real estate market cycles, sudden interest-rate movements and changes in credit market conditions. As such, it is critical for title insurance companies to achieve greater spread of risk geographically to mitigate their product and

industry concentrations. Generally, larger companies with a nationwide book of business have a natural spread of risk. However, smaller companies that have a long-standing presence in certain markets and efficient distribution channels may be able to compensate for their more concentrated books of business. Although approximately 40% of total title insurance premiums are written in four states (California, Texas, Florida and New York), this concentration has decreased somewhat in recent years. While most title insurance companies are predominantly writers of residential title policies, the commercial title market represents an important source of revenue because of the high value of premiums and typically higher profit margins associated with these policies. The commercial segment also requires title insurers to have greater underwriting sophistication in writing these large policies. Title insurers that have an established presence in the commercial market segment characterized by a long history of commercial underwriting may be at a greater advantage than companies that are new to this market segment. A.M. Best takes into consideration a title insurer's geographic and product-segment spread of risk, as well as a company's operating history and market presence, to assess the quality of the company's overall spread of risk.

Competitive Market Position

As stated above, a title insurer's historical presence in markets where it operates may give it a competitive advantage over its peers. However, given that the title insurance industry is subject to high levels of fixed costs and volatile earnings, the ability of a company to respond quickly to such challenges ultimately will determine the sustainability of its competitive advantage. The ability to manage costs and use distribution channels efficiently is critical for a title insurer in maintaining a competitive advantage over its peers. Because title agents retain a significant portion of title insurance premiums, companies prefer to have a predominantly agency distribution mix during real estate down cycles; this cost structure automatically reduces expenses as revenue falls. Conversely, when real estate markets are on an upswing, resulting in stronger demand for title insurance, companies that write mostly direct business with relatively fixed labor

costs may benefit more from an environment of rapid growth in revenue. Thus, companies with a flexible cost structure that can adapt quickly to changes in the real estate cycle can afford a greater degree of control over variable costs through the use of multiple distribution channels. Similarly, effective utilization and leveraging of technology, superior service, underwriting expertise, careful monitoring of agents' business practices, financial flexibility and access to capital will allow companies to be in a better position to both weather down cycles and take advantage of market upturns, and therefore to sustain and enhance their competitive market positions.

Regulatory, Market and Event Risk

Title insurance companies, as all other insurance lines of business, are subject to state regulation. Title insurance premium and agent commission rates are mandated by the state and are subject to revision, given changes in the regulatory environment. While these systemic risks are common to all market participants, title insurers also face a high degree of market risk due to the nature of the demand for their product, which is derived from the real estate and financial markets. Any adverse developments in these markets, such as changes or reforms in the financial services industry; changes in tax laws that negatively impact the mortgage and real estate industries; a sudden downturn in property prices; or a reduction in demand owing to recessionary business and economic cycles, a sharp increase in mortgage interest rates or a sudden tightening in the availability of mortgage credit are likely to have a greater negative impact on title insurers whose business profile does not afford them a favorable spread of risk and a competitive market position.

Management Quality

The experience and depth of management represents a critical component in the evaluation of a company's overall business profile. Since the insurance business is based on a model of fiduciary responsibility, prudent guidance of management generally plays a more vital role than in other industries. Because of the high degree of competitive pressure in the title insurance marketplace, the ability of management to develop

and execute a coherent, sustainable and competitive operating strategy is vital to a company's long-term financial success. A.M. Best's assessment of management's active monitoring and mitigation of enterprisewide risks and a clear understanding of management's operating goals is inherent in the qualitative evaluation of a company's prospective operating performance and its ability to sustain

and enhance balance sheet strength. A.M. Best places great emphasis on ongoing communication and periodic meetings between its analytical team and company management. These interactions provide A.M. Best with crucial insight into the organization's operating mission and whether and how management policies are designed to implement that mission on an ongoing basis.

Published by A.M. Best Company

Methodology

CHAIRMAN & PRESIDENT **Arthur Snyder III**

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT **Larry G. Mayewski**

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT **Paul C. Tinnirello**

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENTS **Manfred Nowacki, Matthew Mosher,
Rita L. Tedesco, Karen B. Heine**

A.M. BEST COMPANY

WORLD HEADQUARTERS

Ambest Road, Oldwick, N.J. 08858

Phone: +1 (908) 439-2200

NEWS BUREAU

830 National Press Building

529 14th Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20045

Phone: +1 (202) 347-3090

A.M. BEST EUROPE RATING SERVICES LTD. A.M. BEST EUROPE INFORMATION SERVICES LTD.

12 Arthur Street, 6th Floor, London, UK EC4R 9AB

Phone: +44 (0)20 7626-6264

A.M. BEST ASIA-PACIFIC LTD.

Unit 4004 Central Plaza, 18 Harbour Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong

Phone: +852 2827-3400



Copyright © 2012 by A.M. Best Company, Inc., Ambest Road, Oldwick, New Jersey 08858. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. No part of this report or document may be distributed in any electronic form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the A.M. Best Company. For additional details, see Terms of Use available at the A.M. Best Company Web site www.ambest.com.

Any and all ratings, opinions and information contained herein are provided "as is," without any expressed or implied warranty. A rating may be changed, suspended or withdrawn at any time for any reason at the sole discretion of A.M. Best.

A Best's Financial Strength Rating – Insurer is an independent opinion of an insurer's financial strength and ability to meet its ongoing insurance policy and contract obligations. It is based on a comprehensive quantitative and qualitative evaluation of a company's balance sheet strength, operating performance and business profile. The Financial Strength Rating opinion addresses the relative ability of an insurer to meet its ongoing insurance policy and contract obligations. These ratings are not a warranty of an insurer's current or future ability to meet contractual obligations. The rating is not assigned to specific insurance policies or contracts and does not address any other risk, including, but not limited to, an insurer's claims-payment policies or procedures; the ability of the insurer to dispute or deny claims payment on grounds of misrepresentation or fraud; or any specific liability contractually borne by the policy or contract holder. A Financial Strength Rating is not a recommendation to purchase, hold or terminate any insurance policy, contract or any other financial obligation issued by an insurer, nor does it address the suitability of any particular policy or contract for a specific purpose or purchaser.

A Best's Debt/Issuer Credit Rating is an opinion regarding the relative future credit risk of an entity, a credit commitment or a debt or debt-like security. It is based on a comprehensive quantitative and qualitative evaluation of a company's balance sheet strength, operating performance and business profile and, where appropriate, the specific nature and details of a rated debt security. Credit risk is the risk that an entity may not meet its contractual, financial obligations as they come due. These credit ratings do not address any other risk, including but not limited to liquidity risk, market value risk or price volatility of rated securities. The rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any securities, insurance policies, contracts or any other financial obligations, nor does it address the suitability of any particular financial obligation for a specific purpose or purchaser.

In arriving at a rating decision, A.M. Best relies on third-party audited financial data and/or other information provided to it. While this information is believed to be reliable, A.M. Best does not independently verify the accuracy or reliability of the information.

A.M. Best does not offer consulting or advisory services. A.M. Best is not an Investment Adviser and does not offer investment advice of any kind, nor does the company or its Rating Analysts offer any form of structuring or financial advice. A.M. Best does not sell securities. A.M. Best is compensated for its interactive rating services. These rating fees can vary from US\$ 5,000 to US\$ 500,000. In addition, A.M. Best may receive compensation from rated entities for non-rating related services or products offered.

A.M. Best's special reports and any associated spreadsheet data are available, free of charge, to all *BestWeek* subscribers. On those reports, nonsubscribers can access an excerpt and purchase the full report and spreadsheet data. Special reports are available through our Web site at www.ambest.com/research or by calling Customer Service at (908) 439-2200, ext. 5742. Some special reports are offered to the general public at no cost.

For press inquiries or to contact the authors, please contact James Peavy at (908) 439-2200, ext. 5644.

SR-2012-M-013