

February 7, 2011

Rating Analysts

David Drummond, Senior Financial Analyst
+44 20 7397 0327
David.Drummond@ambest.com

Catherine Thomas
Managing Senior Financial Analyst
+44 20 7397 0281
Catherine.Thomas@ambest.com

Miles Trotter, General Manager, Analytics
+44 20 7397 0274
Miles.Trotter@ambest.com

Rating Lloyd's Syndicates

A.M. Best's rating criteria for Lloyd's syndicates address factors specific to individual syndicates and not already covered by A.M. Best's well-established insurance rating methodology. Syndicate ratings are assigned through the application of A.M. Best's interactive rating process. They should be regarded as a complementary analytical service to A.M. Best's rating on the overall Lloyd's market and should be considered only in this context.

Financial strength ratings provide A.M. Best's view as to the ability of a rated organisation to meet policyholder obligations. The market rating is the "floor" of security for all policies written at Lloyd's. The existence of the market rating reflects the "chain of security" and, in particular, the role of the Central Fund, which partially mutualises capital at a market level. This ensures that each syndicate is backed by capital consistent with a financial strength rating of at least that of the Lloyd's market. A policyholder exposed to a syndicate with a financial strength profile weaker than the market would still have market-level security, given the Central Fund's role as a guarantee fund. However, A.M. Best believes that the specific characteristics of some syndicates could be consistent with a financial strength rating at or above the level of the market rating and that this is a valuable perspective for policyholders, brokers and others with a vital interest in security at Lloyd's.

The relationship between the Lloyd's market rating and the syndicate ratings is demonstrated by the fact that an amendment to the market rating would automatically trigger a review of all syndicate ratings, as these cannot be viewed in isolation from the market as a whole. However, this would not necessarily mean that any given rating would change. That would depend on the basis for the change in the Lloyd's rating and the syndicate-specific characteristics that support the syndicate's rating.

A specialised analytical team assesses each syndicate according to A.M. Best's traditional key ratings factors – capital strength, operating performance and business profile – after which A.M. Best is in a position to assign a rating. An "s" modifier, e.g. A+ s, differentiates ratings on individual syndicates from the overall market's rating.

Key Rating Factors

Capital Strength

A.M. Best evaluates a syndicate's financial strength and flexibility by looking at leverage, capital structure, reinsurance, asset quality, reserves and liquidity. However, most of the standard measures of balance sheet strength can only be analysed at the whole market level, the syndicate's balance sheet information being of limited use for measuring capital strength. This is because the capital backing a syndicate is held at the member level, in the form of funds at Lloyd's (FAL), and is fungible across all of a member's syndicate participations. There is therefore no capital shown in the syndicate's balance sheet; moreover, syndicates do not retain profits and there is no policyholders' surplus. Consequently, Best's Capital Adequacy Ratio (BCAR model) cannot be used. Capital adequacy is addressed largely through assessment of the

This criteria report was updated as part of the annual review process. No substantive changes were made to the prior edition.

This criteria report can be found at
www.ambest.com/ratings/methodology



application at Lloyd's of the Individual Capital Adequacy Standards (ICAS) methodology, required by the U.K. regulator, the Financial Services Authority (FSA). This forms a key part of A.M. Best's analysis for the Lloyd's rating. Lloyd's as a whole and individual syndicates are required to produce an Individual Capital Assessment (ICA). Additionally, A.M. Best uses some syndicate-specific indicators and ratios, insofar as they affect operating resilience.

a) Leverage: Standard indicators of operating, financial and asset leverage are considered at the Lloyd's market level. In addition, A.M. Best assesses characteristics pertaining to the syndicate itself, including the extent of reliance on reinsurance and associated credit exposure; the size of technical reserves – particularly the reinsurance to close ("RITC") – relative to capacity and premiums; and the degree of asset risk attaching to the syndicate's premiums trust fund investments. The following are the main ratios employed in A.M. Best's assessment of leverage at the syndicate level:

- Gross Premiums Written/Capacity

- Net Premiums Written/Capacity
- Net Premiums Written/Gross Premiums Written
- RITC Brought Forward/Capacity
- RITC Brought Forward/Net Premiums Written
- Gross RITC/Net RITC

b) Capital Structure: A syndicate's capital structure influences financial strength when the syndicate is backed largely or entirely by "integrated" capital through an integrated Lloyd's vehicle ("ILV") – i.e. where the same group owns the managing agency and the capital backing the syndicate. ILVs can provide their syndicates with financial flexibility, including capital infusions and, in some cases, additional sources of cash flow from other operations. A.M. Best therefore reviews the ILV's capital structure to determine whether the finances are sound and unencumbered. For example, the existence of debt and other financial instruments could reduce the quality

A.M. Best Company Methodology

PUBLISHER, PRESIDENT AND CHAIRMAN
Arthur Snyder

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT/CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER
Arthur Snyder III

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT/CHIEF RATING OFFICER
Larry G. Mayewski

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT/CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER
Paul C. Tinnirello

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENTS
Manfred Nowacki, Life/Health
Matthew Mosher, Property/Casualty
Rita L. Tedesco, Information Services

ANALYTICAL SERVICES
Carole Ann King, Managing Senior Business Analyst
Brendan Noonan, Managing Senior Business Analyst
Stephen Brown Klinger, Senior Business Analyst
Carol Demyanovich, Senior Business Analyst
Joe Niedzielski, Senior Business Analyst
Laura McArdle, Business Analyst
Thomas Dawson IV, Associate Editor

PRODUCTION
Angel M. Negron, Senior Designer

Copyright © 2011 by A.M. Best Company, Inc., Ambest Road, Oldwick, New Jersey 08858. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. No part of this report or document may be distributed in any electronic form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the A.M. Best Company. For additional details, see Terms of Use available at the A.M. Best Company Web site www.ambest.com.

Any and all ratings, opinions and information contained herein are provided "as is," without any expressed or implied warranty. A rating may be changed, suspended or withdrawn at any time for any reason at the sole discretion of A.M. Best.

A Best's Financial Strength Rating is an independent opinion of an insurer's financial strength and ability to meet its ongoing insurance policy and contract obligations. It is based on

a comprehensive quantitative and qualitative evaluation of a company's balance sheet strength, operating performance and business profile. The Financial Strength Rating opinion addresses the relative ability of an insurer to meet its ongoing insurance policy and contract obligations. These ratings are not a warranty of an insurer's current or future ability to meet contractual obligations. The rating is not assigned to specific insurance policies or contracts and does not address any other risk, including, but not limited to, an insurer's claims-payment policies or procedures; the ability of the insurer to dispute or deny claims payment on grounds of misrepresentation or fraud; or any specific liability contractually borne by the policy or contract holder. A Financial Strength Rating is not a recommendation to purchase, hold or terminate any insurance policy, contract or any other financial obligation issued by an insurer, nor does it address the suitability of any particular policy or contract for a specific purpose or purchaser.

A Best's Debt/Issuer Credit Rating is an opinion regarding the relative future credit risk of an entity, a credit commitment or a debt or debt-like security. It is based on a comprehensive quantitative and qualitative evaluation of a company's balance sheet strength, operating performance and business profile and, where appropriate, the specific nature and details of a rated debt security. Credit risk is the risk that an entity may not meet its contractual, financial obligations as they come due. These credit ratings do not address any other risk, including but not limited to liquidity risk, market value risk or price volatility of rated securities. The rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any securities, insurance policies, contracts or any other financial obligations, nor does it address the suitability of any particular financial obligation for a specific purpose or purchaser.

In arriving at a rating decision, A.M. Best relies on third-party audited financial data and/or other information provided to it. While this information is believed to be reliable, A.M. Best does not independently verify the accuracy or reliability of the information.

A.M. Best does not offer consulting or advisory services. A.M. Best is not an Investment Adviser and does not offer investment advice of any kind, nor does the company or its Rating Analysts offer any form of structuring or financial advice. A.M. Best does not sell securities. A.M. Best is compensated for its interactive rating services. These rating fees can vary from US\$ 5,000 to US\$ 500,000. In addition, A.M. Best may receive compensation from rated entities for non-rating related services or products offered.

Copies are available through Customer Service: (908) 439-2200, Ext. 5577. The report is also available online at www.ambest.com/ratings/methodology.html.

For press inquiries or to contact the authors, please contact James Peavy at (908) 439-2200, ext. 5644.



of an ILV's capital structure and might place a drag on its ability to provide future capital to a syndicate. In addition, A.M. Best reviews any non-insurance operations of the holding company for their potential impact on the financial condition of insurance operations.

c) Reinsurance: A.M. Best analyses the quality and appropriateness of a syndicate's reinsurance programme insofar as it affects prospective operating performance and how that, in turn, could affect the ability to retain and attract capital. Each syndicate's gross and net Realistic Disaster Scenarios are also reviewed, partly to assess the efficacy of reinsurance purchased. The ICA requirement applied to syndicates will reflect to some extent Lloyd's view as to the quality of reinsurance, because review of syndicate ICAs is delegated to Lloyd's by the FSA. Beyond this, consideration of a syndicate's reinsurance programme in the context of current capital strength may have shortcomings, since the capital backing the syndicate (FAL) is held at the member level.

d) Reserves: This element in A.M. Best's assessment of individual syndicates is considered largely in relation to prospective operating performance rather than capital strength. Again, this reflects the fungibility of capital held at the member level.

e) Asset Quality: A.M. Best's analysis of asset quality is derived mainly from the overall market rating, taking into account all the assets held as FAL. Assets held in the premiums trust funds are held at syndicate level and are analysed to determine how they affect a syndicate's liquidity.

f) Liquidity: The limitations inherent in syndicates' balance sheets mean that the standard indicators of liquidity are not strictly applicable. Therefore, A.M. Best focuses on a syndicate's operating cash flows and any other contingent funding facilities in place to cover deficits.

Operating Performance

In addition to the impact of reinsurance and reserve quality mentioned above, A.M. Best measures the operating performance of a syndicate by evaluating the following three areas: profitability, revenue and quality of management.

a) Profitability: With the introduction of annual accounting, A.M. Best largely analyses syndicates' profitability using similar ratios against gross and net premiums written to those used with an insurance company, as follows:

- Gross Loss Ratio
- Net Loss Ratio
- Expense Ratio
- Combined Ratio
- Net Investment Income/NPW
- Result after Personal Expenses/NPW
- Pure Year Underwriting Result/NPW

A.M. Best also analyses syndicates' profitability by measuring traditional indicators against capacity.

b) Revenue Composition: A.M. Best applies its standard analysis of revenues.

c) Management Experience and Objectives: As with any company, management is a critical factor in a syndicate's long-term financial strength. A.M. Best considers the management team's experience and background, together with the key managerial and operational procedures employed. In particular, A.M. Best considers the management team's approach to risk management and control, as A.M. Best believes that enterprise risk management – establishing a risk-aware culture and using sophisticated tools to identify and measure risk and risk correlations – is an increasingly important component of that framework.

Business Profile

The business profile of a Lloyd's syndicate represents the amalgamation of all core activities in which a syndicate is engaged. In the context of Lloyd's, it is evident that all syndicates depend on, and are part of, the business profile of Lloyd's as a whole. Therefore, any weakening of Lloyd's business position will act as a drag on an individual syndicate's rating. However, the particular characteristics that distinguish one syndicate from another and from the insurance industry

as a whole can offset this to some extent. These characteristics are the main drivers of A.M. Best's analysis of the business profile of Lloyd's syndicates.

Potential Scenarios for Syndicates Rated Above Market Level

At the end of the interactive process and the assessment of the above key rating factors, A.M. Best believes two scenarios could emerge under which an individual syndicate could be assigned a rating above that of the market:

I.) The syndicate is backed by a capital provider that, in A.M. Best's opinion, offers a higher level of financial strength than the market and is fully committed to supporting the syndicate beyond the member's limited liability obligations and before recourse to Lloyd's Central Fund. A.M. Best undertakes a detailed analysis of the capital provider's commitment. A.M. Best would also have to be satisfied that the capital provider would not cease underwriting at Lloyd's under adverse circumstances not related to its own syndicate's performance, such as an additional Central Fund levy. Eligibility for a rating enhancement due to capital backing also may be affected if the corporate member participates in other syndicates, since capital held at member level is fungible across all syndicates in which the member participates.

II.) The business profile and/or operating performance of a syndicate are in line with the

characteristics of insurers with higher ratings. As with an insurance company, these two factors are key, leading indicators of a syndicate's ability to retain and attract capital and thereby support long-term financial strength.

The two scenarios are not mutually exclusive. An individual syndicate may achieve a rating above the level of the market through one or both scenarios.

A.M. Best's rating methodology for Lloyd's syndicates, as for all other ratings, is based on a combination of quantitative and qualitative standards, and the fundamental areas of analysis are the same as those employed for a traditional Best's Rating. Direct quantitative comparisons with traditional insurance companies' financials are not strictly possible because of Lloyd's unique capital structure. Therefore, results from a syndicate's quantitative analysis are compared with other syndicates and insurance companies of a comparable size and business mix, to the extent possible.

In A.M. Best's quantitative analysis, for the latest open years of account, A.M. Best will use data from the syndicate quarterly monitoring returns (QMR) when voluntarily provided by the syndicates. These reports, although technically unaudited, are Lloyd's regulatory returns. When using these data, A.M. Best also takes into account the syndicate's track record in producing reliable QMR data.



Founded in 1899, A.M. Best Company is the world's oldest and most authoritative insurance rating and information source. For more information, visit www.ambest.com.

**A.M. Best Company
World Headquarters**
Ambest Road,
Oldwick, N.J. 08858
Phone: +1 (908) 439-2200
www.ambest.com

News Bureau
830 National Press Building
529 14th Street N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20045
Phone: +1 (202) 347-3090

**A.M. Best Europe Rating
Services LTD.**
**A.M. Best Europe Informa-
tion Services LTD.**
12 Arthur Street, 6th Floor,
London, UK EC4R9AB
Phone: +44 (0)20 7626-6264

A.M. Best Asia-Pacific LTD.
Unit 4004 Central Plaza,
18 Harbour Road,
Wanchai, Hong Kong
Phone: +852 2827-3400