

METHODOLOGY

**NOVEMBER 24, 2003** 

# **Understanding BCAR**

A.M. Best's Capital Adequacy Ratio for Property/Casualty Insurers and Its Implications for Ratings

The objective of A.M. Best Co.'s rating system is to provide an opinion of an insurer's financial strength and ability to meet ongoing obligations to policyholders. The assignment of an interactive rating is derived from an in-depth evaluation of a company's balance-sheet strength, operating performance and business profile, as compared with A.M. Best's quantitative and qualitative standards.

For A.M. Best's interactive ratings, the balanced approach of evaluating a company on both quantitative and qualitative levels provides a better analysis of a company and makes possible a more discerning and credible rating opinion.

A.M. Best's quantitative evaluation is based on analysis of more than 100 key financial tests and supporting data. These tests, which underlie the evaluation of balance-sheet strength and operating performance, vary in importance, depending on a company's characteristics.

A company's quantitative results are evaluated on their own merits and also are compared with industry composites as established by A.M. Best. Composite standards are based on the performance of other insurance companies with comparable business mixes and organizational structures. These industry benchmarks are adjusted to reflect changes in underwriting, economic and regulatory market conditions.

#### **Balance-Sheet Strength**

In determining a company's ability to meet its current and ongoing obligations to policyholders, balance-sheet strength is the most important area to evaluate, since it is the foun-

Questions regarding A.M. Best's BCAR methodology can be directed to Thomas Mount, ACAS, MAAA, managing senior financial analyst, in A.M. Best Co.'s property/casualty division. dation for policyholder security. Performance then determines how that balance-sheet strength will be enhanced, maintained or eroded over time. Balance-sheet strength measures the exposure of a company's surplus to its operating and financial practices. An analysis of a company's underwriting, financial and asset leverage is very important in assessing overall balance-sheet strength.

Underwriting leverage is generated from current premium writings, reinsurance recoverables and loss reserves. To assess whether a company's underwriting leverage is prudent, a number of factors unique to the company are taken into account, including the types of business written, the quality and appropriateness of its reinsurance program and the adequacy of loss reserves.

Financial leverage is created through debt or debt-like instruments—including financial reinsurance—and is reviewed in conjunction with a company's underwriting leverage. An analysis of financial leverage is conducted at both the operating company and holding com-

### Structural Overview: A.M. Best's Capital Adequacy Ratio

BCAR = <u>Adjusted Surplus</u> Net Required Capital

**Adjusted Surplus Components** 

Reported Surplus Equity Adjustments

Unearned Premiums Assets

- Loss Reserves Reinsurance
- Debt Adjustments

Surplus Notes Debt Service Requirements **Other Adjustments** 

Potential Catastrophe Losses Future Operating Losses

Source: A.M. Best Co.

#### **Net Required Capital (NRC) Components**

- (B1) Fixed-Income Securities
- (B2) Equity Securities
- (B3) Interest Rate
- (B4) Credit
- (B5) Loss and Loss-Adjustment-Expense Reserves
- (B6) Net Written Premium
- (B7) Off Balance Sheet

#### Covariance

**NRC** =  $\sqrt{(B1)^2 + (B2)^2 + (B3)^2 + (0.5*B4)^2 + [(0.5*B4) + B5]^2 + (B6)^2 + (B7)}$ 



Copyright © 2003 by A.M. Best Company, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this report may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means; electronic, mechanical, photo copying, recording or otherwise.

pany levels, since debt at either level could place a call on the insurer's earnings and strain its cash flow, leading to financial instability.

Asset leverage measures the exposure of a company's surplus to investment, interest rate and credit risks. The volatility and credit quality of the investment portfolio, recoverables and agents' balances determine the potential impact on the company's balance-sheet strength.

A company's underwriting, financial and asset leverage also are subjected to an evaluation by Best's Capital Adequacy Ratio (BCAR), which allows for an integrated review of these leverage areas. BCAR calculates the net required capital to support the financial risks of the company associated with the exposure of assets and underwriting to adverse economic and market conditions, and compares it with economic capital. Some of the stress tests within BCAR include above-normal catastrophes, a decline in equity markets and a rise in interest rates. This integrated stress evaluation permits a more discerning view of a company's balancesheet strength relative to its operating risks.

A company's BCAR result is extremely useful in evaluating a company's balance-sheet strength, but it is only one component of that analysis. In addition, balance-sheet strength is only one component of the overall financial strength rating, which also includes operating performance and market profile. BCAR very often is a minimum requirement to support a rating, but other factors driving expectations of future balance-sheet strength drive the rating as well. All of these factors are important to the overall rating process. This article will describe the methodology used in the BCAR model and how market issues are treated within the model.

#### Overview of BCAR

Structurally, A.M. Best's capital formula resembles the National Association of Insurance Commissioners' risk-based capital calculation, whereby required capital is computed to support three broad risk categories: investment risk, credit risk and underwriting risk. Like the NAIC model, the A.M. Best formula contains an adjustment for covariance, reflecting the statistical independence of the individual components. A company's adjusted surplus is divided by its net required capital, after the covariance adjustment, to determine its BCAR.

Shown in the exhibit, "A.M. Best's Capital Adequacy Model," the distribution of gross required capital by risk category is generated by applying the BCAR capital model to the property/casualty industry in 2002. In contrast to the NAIC model, a significantly greater proportion of capital is required to support the premium component, reflecting A.M. Best's more stringent risk factors.

While BCAR is designed very similarly to the NAIC's risk-based capital calculation, it also includes several critical risk components not considered in the risk-based capital calculation.

Total investment risk-which includes three main risk components: (B1) fixed income securities, (B2) equities and (B3) interest rate-applies capital charges to different asset classes based on the risk of default, illiquidity and market-value declines in both equity and fixed-income securities. A.M. Best's model has incorporated an interest-rate risk component that considers the market-value decline in a company's fixed-income portfolio as a result of rising interest rates. Additionally,

# A.M. Best Co. Methodology

November 24, 2003

PUBLISHER, PRESIDENT AND CHAIRMAN Arthur Snyder EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT/CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER Arthur Snyder III EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT/CHIEF RATING OFFICER Larry G. Mayewski EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT/CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER Paul C. Tinnirello SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT Shaun Flvnn. Internationa GROUP VICE PRESIDENTS Manfred Nowacki, Life/Health Matthew Mosher, Property/Casualty

Copyright © 2003 by A.M. Best Company, Inc., Ambest Road, Oldwick, New Jersey 08858. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. No part of this report or document may be distributed in any electronic form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the A.M. Best Company. For additional details, see Terms of Use avail able at the A.M. Best Company Web site http://www.ambest.com

Best's Ratings reflect the A.M. Best Company's opinion based on a compre-hensive quantitative and qualitative evaluation of a company's balance sheet strength, operating performance and business profile and, where appropri-ate, the specific nature and details of a rated debt security. These ratings are not a warranty of an insurer's current or future ability to meet its contrac-tual obligations, nor are they a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any security. Further, any and all information herein is provided "as is," without warranty of any kind, expressed or implied. A.M. Best Company receives compensation for its interactive financial strength ratings, from the insurance companies it rates. In compliance with the Securities Act of 1933, A.M. Best also discloses that it receives rating fees from most issuers of the debt secu-rities it rates. Those fees fall within a range of \$7.500 to \$5.500,000. rities it rates. Those fees fall within a range of \$ 7,500 to \$ 500,000

BestWeek subscribers can download a printed copy of the full 24-page methodology, "Understanding BCAR" from our Web site, www.bestweek.com



higher capital charges are ascribed to affiliated investment holdings, real estate, junk bonds and nonaffiliated common stocks.

The credit risk category (B4) applies capital charges to different receivable balances to reflect third-party default risk. Capital charges are ascribed to recoverables from all reinsurers, including foreign and domestic affiliates. Required capital for credit risk might be modified after taking into account any collateral offsets for reinsurance balances; the quality of the reinsurers that participate in the company's domestic reinsurance program; and the company's dependence on its reinsurance program. Also included in the credit risk component are charges for agent balances and other miscellaneous receivables.

The largest risk category, which typically accounts for two-thirds of a company's gross required capital, is underwriting risk. This category encompasses both loss and loss-adjustment expense reserves (B5) and net premiums written (B6). The loss-reserve component requires capital based on the risk inherent in a company's loss reserves, adjusted for A.M. Best's assessment of its reserve equity. The net premiums written component requires capital based on the pricing risk inherent in a company's mix of business. In addition, required capital for the reserve and premium components may be increased to reflect an additional surcharge for "excessive" growth in exposure. Finally, there is credit for a well-diversified business, but this credit is limited for those companies that maintain small books of many lines of business and might not necessarily have expertise in all of these lines.

Collectively, these six risk components generate more than 99% of a company's gross required capital, with the business risk component (B7) generating minimal capital requirements for off-balance-sheet items. A company's gross required capital, which is the sum of the capital required to support its seven risk components, reflects the amount of capital needed to support all risks were they to develop simultaneously. These individual components then are subjected to a covariance calculation within the BCAR formula to account for the statistical independence of these components. This covariance adjustment essentially says that it is unlikely for all seven risk components to develop simultaneously and serves to reduce a company's overall required capital by about 35% to 45%.

#### A.M. Best's Capital Adequacy Model Composition of gross required capital for 2002 industry aggregate. Investments Premiums in Affiliates Written 16% 32% Investments Interest Rate 4% 31% Credit 10% Nonaffiliated Stocks 6% Loss/LAE Reserves All Other 27% Investments 5% Source: A.M. Best Co.

A.M. Best has adopted a covariance calculation that is very similar to the NAIC's riskbased capital calculation. The A.M. Best calculation recognizes the distortions caused by this "square root rule" covariance adjustment, whereby the more capital-intensive underwriting risk components are accentuated disproportionately, while the less capital-intensive asset risk components are diminished in their relative contribution to net required capital. Nevertheless, by using other distinct capital measures, A.M. Best can counterbalance this apparent shortcoming.

Unlike other models, A.M. Best's capital model makes a number of adjustments to a company's reported surplus to provide a more economic and comparable basis for evaluating capital adequacy. These adjustments are related largely to equity, or economic values, imbedded in unearned premium reserves, loss and loss-adjustment expense reserves, and fixedincome securities. They serve to even the playing field and compensate for certain economic values not reflected in the statutory financials. Surplus is adjusted further to reflect other nonbalance-sheet risks, including catastrophe exposures and debt-service requirements.

In addition, the model can be adjusted in response to various market issues. Some examples that can impact capitalization include rate changes; the stage of the underwriting cycle; changing reinsurance products; and dependence on reinsurance. The ability of the model to respond to these market issues makes it a robust tool that assists in the evaluation of the company's balance-sheet strength.

For a detailed discussion of the key fea-

3

November 24, 2003

tures, adjustments and issues related to the BCAR model, please refer to "Technical Review of the BCAR Formula," on page 6.

#### Interpretive Guidance

The basis of risk measurement for A.M. Best's property/casualty BCAR model is expected policyholder deficit. A.M. Best adopted the concept of expected policyholder deficit to better calibrate the model's lossreserve and premium risk factors, as well as other risk factors throughout the model. Although this calibration isn't absolute, it has helped to measure more consistently the risk that companies face.

#### **Expected Policyholder Deficit**

The expected policyholder deficit concept allows risk charges to be calibrated to a specific level of insolvency risk, producing a consistent assessment of insolvency risk throughout the capitalization model. Many other capital adequacy models, including those based on valueat-risk concepts, tend to be based solely on the probability of ruin, or insolvency. Expected policyholder deficit also takes into consideration the expected cost, or severity, of insolvency.

The table, "Expected Policyholder Deficit Illustration," shows the difference in risk between two companies that have the same 10% probability of insolvency. Under the first scenario, neither company defaults or produces a deficit. Under the second scenario, while both companies default and produce deficits, Company B produces a deficit of \$2,000, but Company A produces a deficit of only \$1,000.

In calculating the expected policyholder deficit for the two companies, the probability of each scenario is multiplied by the deficit

## **Expected Policyholder Deficit Illustration**

	Asset	Loss	Capital		Claim			
Company A	Amount	Amount	Amount	Probability	Payment	Deficit		
Scenario 1	\$13,000	\$9,556		90%	\$9,556	\$0		
Scenario 2	13,000	14,000		10%	13,000	1,000		
Expectation	13,000	10,000	3,000		9,900	100		
Expected Policyholder Deficit (Expected Deficit/Expected Loss Amount)								
Company B								
Scenario 1	\$13,000	\$9,444		90%	\$9,444	\$0		
Scenario 2	13,000	15,000		10%	13,000	2,000		
Expectation	13,000	10,000	3,000		9,800	200		
Expected Policyholder Deficit (Expected Deficit/Expected Loss Amount)								

Source: A.M. Best Co.

produced by the scenario. Based on this calculation, Company A produces an expected policyholder deficit of \$100, or 1% of expected loss amount. Company B produces an expected policyholder deficit of \$200, or 2% of expected loss amount. While both companies have the same 10% probability of failure, Company B presents the greatest risk to insureds because of the additional costs of its insolvency relative to the same capital position.

Since Company B is showing a larger expected policyholder deficit, it would generate a lower BCAR than Company A. The larger risk for Company B needs to be supported by a greater amount of capital than the amount needed to support Company A's risks. The greater required capital to support Company B's risks, relative to the same asset base as Company A, results in a lower BCAR for Company B.

#### Formula Drivers

More than two-thirds of a company's gross capital requirement within A.M. Best's capital model usually is generated from its loss reserve (B5) and net premiums written (B6) components. Consequently, a company's absolute BCAR value reflected in A.M. Best publications is influenced largely by the capital required to support its net underwriting commitment, which in turn is largely a function of a company's mix of business, size of surplus, stability of loss development, profitability, loss-reserve adequacy and length of claims payout. All things being equal, a company's absolute BCAR value will be lower because of higher capital requirements associated with higher underwriting leverage, greater indicated reserve deficiencies and unstable or unprofitable business.

While only one-third of the gross capital requirement is generated from investment risk (B1/B2), interest rate risk (B3) and credit risk (B4) components, a company that maintains a more aggressive investment portfolio, depends heavily on pyramided capital, has excessive credit risk or depends excessively on reinsurance will likely generate a lower BCAR value.

#### Sensitivity Calculations

A.M. Best analysts may supplement their initial assessment of a company's baseline capital position by performing various sensitivity calculations. These analyses can quantify the capital required to support future business plans, reflect the effect of pro forma transactions or reflect the current quarter-ending capital position. Finally, these calculations can reduce a company's reported surplus to incorporate a number of stress scenarios. These sensitivity calculations would quantify the extent of capital cushion or shortfall relative to the company's current rating level.

A.M. Best's minimum capitalization standards, which have been established for individual rating levels, generally correspond with 10% to 15% increments of a company's net required capital. If a company's capitalization were to deteriorate after a reasonable stress test such that its capital position slipped more than one full rating level or suggested a vulnerable rating of B (Fair) or lower, the sensitivity analysis would contribute to downward pressure on the company's rating. The extent of sensitivity analysis performed on a company's capitalization will vary by company and situation.

# Integration of BCAR in the Rating Process

Clearly, BCAR is an important quantitative tool that helps A.M. Best differentiate financial strength between companies and indicate whether a company's capitalization is appropriate for a rating. However, BCAR by itself is insufficient as the sole basis for determining the final rating. In many cases, companies with similar capital positions might be assigned different ratings based on the integration of other important considerations unique to each insurance company: operating performance and business profile.

In addition, the quality of capital is becoming another issue that will qualitatively differentiate one rating from another, even though two companies might have similar BCAR scores. Many soft capital transactions are admitted as surplus under statutory accounting rules but ultimately drain cash, place a drag on earnings or only provide contingent capital, thereby compromising policyholder security and negatively impacting financial strength ratings.

However, for companies that maintain capital near or below the secure/vulnerable BCAR level of 100, BCAR becomes an important rating component. Additionally, companies that are expecting material changes over the next year are evaluated on both an "as is" and an "as will be" basis to better gauge the direction in which capital adequacy is moving.

#### Availability of BCAR Output

Because of the sensitive nature of the underlying adjustments and qualitative information incorporated in a company's BCAR calculation, detailed BCAR output for a particular company is made available only to that company's management. Often, a discussion of A.M. Best's capital model is included in rating meetings when capitalization is an important rating issue.A.M. Best's analysts generally are available to run a limited number of scenarios to aid management in understanding the impact of

## **BCAR Is an Absolute Measure**

lthough BCAR originally was designed as a relative measure of balance-sheet strength, the modifications made since its introduction allowed the BCAR model to produce absolute measures of capital adequacy. A company's absolute capital adequacy ratio indicates whether its capital strength aligns with A.M. Best's "Secure" or "Vulnerable" rating categories and is based on the specific risk of a company's operation. In determining whether a company's capital strength is secure or vulnerable, a company's absolute BCAR is compared with the secure/vulnerable cutoff of 100%. An absolute ratio below 100% would be considered vulnerable, mean-

ing the company's indicated expected policyholder deficit is greater than 1%.

BCAR provides an integrated evaluation of a company's investment, credit and underwriting risk as it compares with the company's level of economic surplus. Within this evaluation, A.M. Best includes many adjustments that recognize the company's specific risk and economic surplus. Because of this integrated evaluation of operating risk, BCAR is an important tool in evaluating a company's balance-sheet strength.

Given standard operating issues, the following chart provides a reasonable guide for the minimum BCAR levels needed to support Financial Strength Ratings:

Minimum BCAR Lev Implied Balance-Sheet Strength Rating	<b>/els</b> Minimum BCAR
Secure:	
A++	175
A+	160
A	145
A-	130
B++	115
B+	100

# Vulnerable: 90 B 90 B 80 C++ 70 C+ 60 C 50 C 40 D 0

their decisions on their BCAR and ultimately on A.M. Best's view of capital strength.

# The Technical Review of the BCAR Formula

Below are summaries of key features and issues related to adjusting policyholder surplus and each of the seven distinct risk components (B1 through B7) within the BCAR model. The following exhibits illustrate the key aspects of the BCAR calculation for two hypothetical insurers with different business profiles. This two-company example highlights the factors that drive their BCAR results, provides examples of company-specific adjustments and gives an indication of their implied capital strength ratings based on their capital positions.

#### Treatment of Key Risk Components Investment Risk (B1/B2)

**Nonaffiliated Bonds**: A.M. Best looks at the quality distribution of an insurer's bond portfolio and assigns risk charges for default risk that are modestly higher than those applied by the NAIC, with no capital charged for U.S. government bonds.

**Common and Preferred Stocks:** A.M. Best continues to apply its historical investment leverage approach, which assumes a 15% reduction in the market value of publicly traded common stocks held. This charge is consistent with A.M. Best's goal of calibrating the baseline capital factors to a 1% expected policyholder deficit. A.M. Best continues to treat preferred stocks consistently with common stocks.

**Real Estate and Other Investments**: Given the greater volatility of real estate investments and their risk of being valued improperly, A.M. Best applies higher risk factors to this asset class. Separate risk charges of 10% and 20% are applied to real estate occupied by the company and real estate held for investment purposes, respectively. In addition, a 20% baseline factor is applied to Schedule BA assets, which typically include some speculative, higher-risk assets. These charges are broadly in line with the NAIC's risk factors.

**Cash:** The 0.3% risk charge applied to cash balances represents the risk that cash deposited in a banking institution might be uncollectible if the bank becomes insolvent.

**Investment in Property/Casualty Insurers:** A.M. Best takes a consolidated approach that

recognizes the importance of affiliated relationships within a domestic property/casualty group. This is different from the NAIC's singlecompany approach, in which the required capital of the domestic affiliate is charged to the parent. A.M. Best's consolidated approach applies to all affiliates included in the rating unit through reinsurance or group rating consideration. Separately rated subsidiaries also are consolidated upward and included in their parents' rating analyses. This consolidation provides a better view of the overall operating fundamentals and capitalization of the operating unit.

**Investment in Life/Health Insurers:** Similar to the NAIC model, the required capital of the domestic life/health affiliate within A.M. Best's formula is charged to the property/casualty parent. A.M. Best's formula is designed to allow the excess of a life/health subsidiary's adjusted surplus over the required capital necessary to support its current rating category to accrue to the parent.

**Special Purpose Subsidiaries:** The required capital to support the underlying assets and liabilities of a special purpose affiliate is charged to the parent company. For example, a downstream holding company that holds special-purpose real estate investments would receive a 20% capital charge rather than a baseline charge of 100% afforded "other investment affiliates."

Investment in Noninsurance Affiliates: There are a number of issues considered when determining the appropriate risk charge for investments in noninsurance affiliates. If the investment is publicly traded, it might receive a lower risk charge than a privately placed investment, because privately placed investments generally are viewed as being less liquid. However, if the company owns a large proportion of a publicly traded affiliate, it might require regulatory or shareholder approval to sell it, making it less liquid. Lastly, the sale of an affiliated investment in a stress situation could give the buyer leverage during the negotiation of the sale price, resulting in a realized value for the asset that is lower than the reported value.

These issues make these types of assets less liquid than other publicly traded investments, and the risks resemble those of a privately held subsidiary.

A.M. Best charges the full statutory carrying value of the noninsurance affiliate to the parent.

Unless a property/casualty insurer is committed actively to selling a noninsurer, with proceeds to be reinvested in the property/casualty operations, the baseline treatment is a 100% capital charge. In this regard, A.M. Best presumes that the net asset value of the affiliate is needed to support its own operations and isn't available to support the property/casualty operation.

Asset Concentration Adjustment: A.M. Best doubles the asset risk charge for single, large investment holdings that are greater than 10% of surplus. This additional capital requirement applies to amounts in excess of the single investment limit, with the baseline charge for that investment type applying to the amount less than 10% of surplus.

**Spread of Risk Factor Adjustment:** A.M. Best's model generates additional required capital to support investment risk relating to diversification of the portfolio, using a size factor related to the spread of risk among all major asset classifications. Generally, no additional capital is generated from this adjustment for insurers with more than \$500 million in invested assets, while insurers with less than \$5 million in invested assets could receive as much as a 50% surcharge that is added to their baseline investment capital requirement.

**High Investment Leverage:** The recent, dramatic declines in the equity markets have shown that companies with high investment leverage in common stocks are subject to greater volatility in their reported surplus. In response, A.M. Best will stress the capital with higher risk charges for those companies with high investment leverage in common stocks. The current, baseline risk charge of 15% will be increased to 20% or 30% when common stocks are more than 50% or 100% of reported surplus, respectively.

#### Interest Rate Risk (B3)

Companies that maintain a high level of exposure to short-term cash needs—most likely those with a high gross catastrophe probable-maximum loss (PML)—are the most exposed to interest-rate risk. A.M. Best uses an interest-rate stress test of 120 basis points on market value. The 120-basis-point test comes from the American Academy RBC Task Force's study and is consistent with a 1% expected policyholder deficit.

The interest rate risk calculation includes a company's gross PML for catastrophes as the maximum exposure a company has to interest

rate risk. This is done by relating the company's gross PML to its liquid assets and then relating this factor to the company's decline in market value following a 120-basis-point rise in interest rates. By relating the company's PML to all liquid assets first, A.M. Best assumes a company is no more likely to liquidate a fixed-income asset at a loss than it is likely to liquidate any other investment at a loss. However, A.M. Best has established a minimum 10% catastrophe exposure percentage applied against the company's decline in market value after a 120-basis-point rise in interest rates, recognizing that there are other reasons for a company to have a short-term need for cash.

The exhibit, "Interest Rate Risk" (B3) illustrates a case in which Company B maintained a high gross PML exposure and, therefore, was subject to a potential need to sell liquid assets in a short period.As shown, the company's catastrophe exposure percentage—gross PML to liquid assets—is 30%. Based on A.M. Best's assumption that asset sales would be distributed evenly across the portfolio of liquid assets, this company would need to sell 30% of its fixed-income portfolio. As a result, A.M. Best only charges for 30% of the \$13,280 in potential market depreciation, to which the fixedincome securities are exposed, resulting in a capital charge of \$3,943.

A key assumption in the calculation comes from A.M. Best's process of marking bonds to market as an adjustment to reported surplus. Because A.M. Best adjusts fixed-income securities to market each year through its re-evaluation of capitalization, only the incremental risk that a capital loss will be realized over the next year needs to be considered. Any risk of lost future income will be reflected at subsequent evaluations. Therefore, only a company's short-term cash needs—such as the occurrence of its PML—would trigger a decline in capitalization over the next year.

#### Credit Risk (B4)

Affiliated Reinsurance: In most situations, A.M. Best will use a baseline charge of 10% for reinsurance due from domestic affiliates and a baseline charge of 10% for reinsurance due from foreign affiliates. This charge may be adjusted, based on an assessment of the affiliates' creditworthiness.

**Nonaffiliated Reinsurance:** A.M. Best's capital model, which starts with a fixed 10% charge for nonaffiliated reinsurance recoverables, allows the analyst to assign variable risk charges based on a reinsurer's Best's Rating, which can range from a low of 2% for an A++ (Superior) reinsurer to charges in excess of 50% for suspect reinsurers. In addition, A.M. Best will consider funds withheld or other forms of collateral as an offset to reinsurance recoverable balances. Offsets that require certain conditions before the collateral is posted might not receive an offset credit until the collateral option is exercised, since there is no access to the collateral until the threshold has been triggered.

Most importantly, however, A.M. Best includes an additional capital requirement, or surcharge, for insurers that analysts believe are excessively dependent on unaffiliated reinsurance, given their lines of business and financial resources. For these insurers, A.M. Best increases the overall credit risk charge for their recoverable balances, regardless of underlying credit quality. This additional charge reflects the increased exposure to reinsurance disputes and cash-flow problems the insurer might face as a result of the higher dependence on reinsurance.

This increased exposure to dispute risk can have a severe impact on surplus. A company with recoverables equal to five times its surplus could lose 50% of its surplus if 10% of its recoverables are disputed successfully by the reinsurer. In an effort to recognize this exposure to dispute risk, A.M. Best employs two reinsurance dependence tests. The first test compares the company's recoverable-to-surplus ratio to an industry benchmark. The second test examines the company's total ceded leverage to thresholds of five, seven and 10 times surplus, resulting in risk charges of 15%, 20% and 25% of nonaffiliated recoverables. The company's total ceded leverage is defined as its nonaffiliated recoverables plus nonaffiliated ceded written premium. This total ceded leverage test is forward looking, since it includes not only the existing recoverables but also the potential exposure to be added in the upcoming year.

**Credit Enhancements to Reinsurance Recoverables:** With the increased reliance on reinsurance, the ceding company faces increased credit or dispute risk exposure. In an effort to offset this increased exposure, ceding companies traditionally have required reinsurers to deposit funds with ceding companies, set up trust accounts or obtain irrevocable letters of credit. Recently, ceding companies have investigated the purchasing of credit enhancements that protect the ceding company's recoverables against the possibility of being uncollectible. If these recoverables are insured by an unaffiliated third party, A.M. Best will reduce the risk charges to reflect the reduced credit risk. However, the reinsurance dependence factor might not change if the contract doesn't cover uncollectibility resulting from a dispute.

**Pools and Associations:** A.M. Best's baseline model applies a 10% charge to pools and association balances. These balances might be adjusted based on the evaluation of the creditworthiness of the pool and the state's regulatory environment. For ceded reinsurance associated with risk-free servicing-carrier business, A.M. Best doesn't charge for credit risk.

Agents' Balances and Other Receivables: A.M. Best applies a 5% capital charge for agents' balances in course of collection and deferred agents' balances, as well as a 10% charge for accrued retrospective balances, although these balances will be reduced by valid collateral and contractual offsets. Other receivable balances generally are assessed a 5% charge and represent a minor overall capital requirement.

#### Underwriting Risk Capital Factors

The baseline capital factors for each line of business are based on risk, as measured by an internal A.M. Best study that replicated the American Academy of Actuaries' Property/Casualty RBC Task Force's Report on Reserve and Underwriting Risk Factors. Both reserve-risk factors and premium-risk factors were calculated using this process.

For reserves, A.M. Best looked at the variance in incurred loss development between accident years, as well as the variance within an accident year between companies. These two measures of variance provided an indication of the volatility of loss development for each line of business. Similar calculations were made to measure the volatility in premium adequacy using a discounted underwriting profit, which was calculated by subtracting company expenses and discounted losses from earned premiums.

A.M. Best modifies both premium and reserve baseline capital factors based on a company's size. A.M. Best's analysis found greater variation within smaller companies than in larger companies for both premium and reserves. This difference was much more evident within reserve variation than in premium variation. Based on this differential, A.M. Best continues to vary its capital charges by company size.

The variation of capital factors by size is based on adjusted policyholder surplus and can be as much as a 35% reduction to the industry baseline reserve-risk capital factors and a 25% reduction to the industry baseline premium-risk capital factor. This reduction reflects the inherent flexibility and diversification of a larger company to better monitor its reserves and pricing programs. Full credit for this size differential isn't awarded in calculating the reserve-risk factor, as the part of this benefit is considered in calculating each company's by-line stability factor.

Underwriting risk factors for both premiums and reserves can be impacted by various reinsurance products. The treatment of these reinsurance products varies by type of contract. By focusing on the amount of risk transferred, the analyst may increase the underwriting risk charges to reflect the disproportionate amount of risk retained vs. the amount of premium retained.

Finite quota-share contracts with loss ratio caps, corridors, sublimits and sliding-scale commissions are examples of reinsurance products that transfer away more premium than risk. This results in underwriting risk factors that are higher than the baseline factors but are applied to the reduced net premiums or reserves. This usually generates a reduction to required capital, but not as much as originally anticipated based on the reduction in premium leverage.

Retroactive adverse development covers could benefit loss and loss-adjustment expense reserve capital factors, but the available limits from the contract must be viewed in relation to any reserve deficiencies. If reserve deficiencies exist, the contract limits are applied to the deficiency first, and any remaining limit then can be applied to the capital factors.

Prospective stop-loss contracts create the need for numerous adjustments to the model, depending on where the coverage layers and limits occur relative to historical ultimates. Any loss and loss-adjustment expense layers ceded away that occur below the expected ultimate won't reduce capital factors but might reduce indicated deficiencies. For each of the above types of reinsurance products, adjustments may be made to surplus, deficiency factors or reinsurance recoverables in addition to the modifications to the underwriting capital factors. Furthermore, if there are clauses in the contracts that threaten to cancel the contract and appear likely to be invoked, the contract may be viewed as having no risk transfer in BCAR. Although the adjustments made under these types of contracts numerically might result in a desirable BCAR, the lower quality of the company's reinsurance-enhanced surplus will be viewed negatively, resulting in a lower rating of its balancesheet strength.

#### Loss and Loss-Adjustment Expense Reserve Risk (B5)

To a large extent, A.M. Best's loss and lossadjustment expense reserve risk component emphasizes adjusted reserve leverage and stability in loss development as gauges of a company's exposure to reserving errors in its book of business. Consequently, all other factors being equal, A.M. Best's capital model will generate a greater reserve capital requirement for an insurer that is more leveraged or more volatile, after adjusting for our view of its reserve adequacy, than its peer companies and vice versa.

Required capital for loss-reserve risk is generated by applying capital factors to an insurer's adjusted loss reserves for 20 distinct lines of business. To ensure equitable capital treatment among insurers, A.M. Best's model places considerable weight on a company's adjusted reserves, which emphasizes reserve adequacy and the time value of money imbedded in those reserves. An insurer that historically has under-reserved will be penalized for maintaining lower reported loss reserves. A.M. Best's by-line reserve-risk factors are based on an integration of the stability of a company's lossdevelopment pattern, the size of the company and the risk inherent in the particular line of business. Consequently, a company's required capital for loss reserve is driven by these key factors:

**Reserve Equity Adjustments:** On a line-byline basis, a company's carried loss reserves are adjusted to an economic basis that reflects A.M. Best's view of an insurer's ultimate reserves, which are discounted to their present value, recognizing the time value of money. By-line carried loss reserves are adjusted to an economic basis through two company modification factors: the reserve-deficiency factor and the discount factor.

The reserve-deficiency factor reflects A.M. Best's view of an insurer's reserve deficiency expressed as a fraction of its original reserve plus 1.0. The initial determination of reserve deficiency is based on a number of actuarial techniques used within A.M. Best's proprietary loss-reserve model, including paid and caseincurred development. In addition to the reserve model, a diagnostic analysis of Schedule P and a qualitative assessment of the company's operating environment and historical reserve development are used to arrive at A.M. Best's view of reserve deficiency. Generally, unseasoned insurers with less than five years of loss experience are compared with industry experience, while the reserves of seasoned insurers are determined relative to their own historical experience.

A number of issues can affect A.M. Best's view of a company's reserve position, including the number of reserve adjustments; the size of the adjustments; the lines of business involved; the accident years generating the adverse development; and whether the adjustment was anticipated or unexpected. For companies of concern, a minimum deficiency factor of 10% above the actuarial best estimate will be used in the model. In addition to assessing the company's core reserves, A.M. Best performs a separate analysis of its asbestos and environmental reserves liabilities. Any deficiency in mass-tort reserves is added to the core deficiency. For asbestos and environmental reserves, A.M. Best uses a survival ratio method, a premium market share method and a paid-loss-share method to generate an initial assessment of these reserves. Discussions with company management and a current, third-party, ground-up review then are used to supplement the initial analysis.

A discount factor, based on the payout pattern of the individual company's reserves and a 5% discount rate, is applied to the estimated ultimate loss reserves. The resulting deficiency and discount factors are applied to a company's reported by-line loss reserves to derive the company's adjusted reserves. To maintain a consistent treatment of the time value of money, all statutory discounting is treated as reserve deficiency, and credit is given through the discount factor. **Reserve Capital Factors:** Once adjusted reserves have been determined by line of business, they can be multiplied by company-specific reserve capital factors to determine a company's reserve capital requirement. By-line reserve capital factors are based on the risk inherent in each line of business, scaled by the size of the company and the volatility of a company's loss development for that line.

Stability factors are used to differentiate the volatility in a specific company's loss reserves. The stability factors are calibrated around 1.00—ranging from 0.70 to 1.30—and are calculated by line, based on the stability of the company's loss-development pattern relative to the rest of the industry. The measurement used to judge the stability of a line of business is the coefficient of variation for loss-development factors at each stage of development through 72 months. Companies with less than eight years of loss experience are penalized for their lack of loss experience and loss-development history.

A.M. Best views the variation in a company's loss-development pattern as a strong indicator of the risk inherent in its loss reserves and of the company's ability to make accurate projections of ultimate losses.

To calculate a company's final reserve capital factors for each line of business, the stability factors are applied to the industry baseline capital factors, adjusted to reflect the company's size. These capital factors are applied to the company's adjusted loss reserves to produce required capital charges by line of business. It should be noted that, unlike the American Academy of Actuaries study, A.M. Best didn't reduce its reserve capital factors to reflect the time value of money, as is done in the risk-based capital calculation. This is because A.M. Best already accounts for the time value of money in its calculation of adjusted loss reserves.

In addition, through a number of supplemental data sources, A.M. Best obtains additional by-line information for certain statutory lines that are too broad, such as the other liability line, which may have a multitude of risk classes. To the extent that an insurer provides a more detailed breakout of its loss reserves, A.M. Best will apply more appropriate reserve capital factors. Furthermore, a company's reserve-risk factor may be adjusted within the casualty lines for loss-sensitive business.

Retroactive Reinsurance: Any time-value-of-

money gain on retroactive reinsurance is removed from surplus, because the model has already credited the gain to surplus through the reserve-equity adjustment. The reserveequity adjustment represents the embedded value in reserves because of the discounting of those reserves for the time value of money. Failure to remove the surplus gain booked by the company would result in a double counting of the embedded equity.

Because BCAR already gives credit for lossreserve equity, retroactive reinsurance provides little benefit unless it also includes adverse-development protection. There is no true economic gain other than the risk protection awarded for stop-loss protection above the expected ultimate, and that benefit is reflected with a risk factor adjustment. In fact, in some cases where investment yields above those earned by the company are guaranteed to the reinsurer, these contracts can be punitive in A.M. Best's view of capitalization.

**Growth Charge**: The reserve growth charge reflects the additional risk that typically comes from growth and is based on the growth in a company's exposures. The growth charge applied to the loss reserve aggregate required capital reflects the substantial risk a company faces in the claims and reserving areas during a time of significant growth.

A growth charge is applied when growth in exposure is more than 8%. The basis for determining the level of growth is the greater of the one-year and three-year annualized growth rate and can be based on growth in policy count as disclosed in A.M. Best's Supplemental Rating Questionnaire or based on companysupplied exposure information.

Even though the growth charge is intended to be based on exposure growth, this information isn't available in the annual statement. Therefore, the model initially calculates the company's growth charge based on the growth in unaffiliated gross premiums written. The initial calculation compares the most recent year's growth to a threshold and also compares the three-year annualized premium growth rate to a different threshold. Whichever comparison generates the higher growth charge is used. These thresholds are chosen based on rate changes in the industry during those time periods plus an allowance for moderate growth in exposure.

The table, "High Premium Growth Example," shows the impact that rate changes can

## **High Premium Growth Example**

(\$ Thousands)

	Gross	
	Premiums	Policy
Calendar Year	Written	Count
1999	\$100,000	1,000
2000	100,000	1,000
2001	100,000	1,000
2002	150,000	1,100
One-Year Growth Rate:	50.0%	10.0%
Three-Year Average Growth Rate:	14.5%	3.2%
	Indicated Growth	Factors
One-Year Growth Rate:	1.300	1.020
Three-Year Average Growth Rate:	1.045	1.000
On the AMA Deat On		

Source: A.M. Best Co.

have on calculating the growth factor. In this example, the premiums grew at a substantial 50% during the most recent year, generating a growth charge of 1.30. However, subsequent examination of policy counts shows that the exposure really only grew at a rate of 10%, which only generates a growth charge of 1.02. This 1.02 growth factor is the growth charge to be used in the model for this example.

**Diversification Credit:** The diversification factor reflects the reduction in overall reserve risk within a well-diversified portfolio of loss reserves. This factor is calculated similarly to the NAIC's risk-based capital formula. The final required capital charge is multiplied by a factor of (.70 + .30 times % reserves in the largest line of business). However, in the case where a company has operations that are spread thinly across many lines of business with small amounts of reserves, this diversification benefit is reduced.

#### Net Premiums Written Risk (B6)

Required capital for premiums written risk within A.M. Best's capital model is generated by applying premium capital factors to an insurer's premiums written for 20 distinct Schedule P lines of business. Premium risk capital factors are based on the integration of the risk inherent in a particular line of business, the company's profitability in that line and the company's size. The company's profitability is reflected in premium adequacy factors.

To calculate the company premium capital factors for each line of business, the company premium adequacy factors are applied to the industry baseline premium capital factors,



adjusted to reflect the company's size. These capital factors then are applied to the company's net premiums written to produce required premium capital charges by line of business.

The premium adequacy factors are calibrated around 1.00—ranging from 0.80 to 1.20 and are calculated by line, based on the profitability of the company's business relative to other companies that have written that Schedule P line of business. The measurement used to judge the profitability of a line of business is a three-year reported accident-year combined ratio, using the company's overall underwriting expense ratio. Adjustments may be made to the premium adequacy factors to reflect reserve-adequacy levels within the accident-year results.

To account for any changes in current market pricing, the model uses an underwriting cycle adjustment that reflects the impact current pricing has on underwriting risk. The underwriting cycle factor is applied to the premium adequacy factor, and the result can increase or decrease premium capital factors by as much as 10% to reflect the current market conditions.

A.M. Best believes the profitability of a company's business and the overall industry pricing levels are good indicators of the level of risk margin expected within a company's future business. Those companies with better historical profitability are expected to maintain a greater risk margin in the pricing and underwriting of future business and, therefore, require a lower premium capital factor.

Similar to the loss-reserve component, A.M. Best may apply more appropriate capital factors for certain broad-line captions that contain a multitude of risk classes. Furthermore, a company's premium risk factor may be adjusted within A.M. Best's model to reflect reduced charges within the casualty lines for loss-sensitive business.

Two final adjustments are made to the aggregation of the by-line required premium capital charge. These adjustments include a charge to reflect the additional risk that typically comes from growth and the benefit derived from a more diversified book of business.

**Growth Charge:** This charge reflects the substantial risk a company faces when bringing in substantial new business based on weaker underwriting and pricing standards or lack of market knowledge. The calculation of the premium growth charge is identical to the calculation of reserve growth charges and is

applied directly to the aggregate required capital for premium risk.

In the case of both the premium and reserve growth charges, adjustments are made to reflect issues within growth such as substantial, historical control of the book of business.

**Diversification Credit:** The diversification factor reflects the reduction in overall premium risk within a well-diversified book of business. This factor is calculated similarly to the NAIC's RBC formula. The final required premium charge is multiplied by a factor of (.70 + .30 times % premium in the largest line of business). However, in the case where a company has operations that are spread thinly across many lines of business with small amounts of premium, this diversification benefit is reduced.

#### Business Risk (B7)

Like the NAIC, A.M. Best applies a nominal 1% capital charge to several off-balance-sheet items, including balances associated with noncontrolled assets, guarantees for affiliates, contingent liabilities, long-term lease obligations and interest-rate swaps. This charge represents a starting point for business risk capital charges assessed based on qualitative assessments of offbalance-sheet liabilities that might encumber a company's surplus growth or preservation.

After gaining an understanding of the inherent risk relating to off-balance-sheet items, the analyst will modify the capital charge to reflect the appropriate level of risk. An example of this is the risk associated with credit default swaps, for which the analyst will assess the credit quality of the underlying portfolio of counterparties to determine the appropriate capital charge. In such an example, the capital charge could be increased to as high as 100% if recovery is unlikely from the various counterparties.

Although many of these items are classified appropriately in the business risk component, adjustments for these items may alternatively be included in the adjusted surplus component.

#### Adjusted Surplus (APHS)

A.M. Best makes a number of adjustments to a company's reported surplus within the capital model to provide a more economic and comparable basis for evaluating capital adequacy. These adjustments even the playing field and compensate for certain economic values not reflected in the statutory financials. Reported surplus is modified for equity adjust-



ments related to unearned premiums, loss reserves and fixed-income assets on an aftertax basis, based on a three-year-average effective tax rate.

**Unearned Premium Equity:** In the case of unearned premiums, A.M. Best increases reported surplus to include an estimated asset for deferred acquisition costs similar to that reflected in GAAP (generally accepted accounting principles) financials. This equity adjustment enables A.M. Best to place a growing company, which is penalized for heavy prepaid acquisition costs, on a comparable basis with a mature company, which has flat or declining acquisition costs.

To the extent that a company's book of business generates a discounted accident-year loss and loss-adjustment-expense ratio in excess of 100%, A.M. Best won't recognize any equity in unearned premiums. For companies with discounted accident-year loss and lossadjustment-expense ratios below 100%, but still higher than their prepaid underwriting expense structure will allow, A.M. Best will recognize only a pro rata share of the deferred acquisition costs as equity.

A risk charge is applied to the unearned premiums to reflect the pricing risk inherent in the rates charged for business written last year, but still unearned as of the current year end, and the charge is subtracted from the unearned premium equity. This pricing risk is separate from the risk charged on the premium risk page, which attempts to capture the pricing risk associated with the business that will be written in the upcoming year. The model uses the current-year written premium as a proxy for the upcoming year's writings.

Loss Reserve Equity: A.M. Best adjusts surplus to reflect the net equity embedded within loss reserves. This equity represents the difference between a company's economic reserves, which reflects A.M. Best's view of ultimate reserves on a discounted basis, and carried reserves. The adjustment, which can be sizable for a casualty insurer, enables A.M. Best to even the playing field and better differentiate companies that have historically under-reserved from those that have strong loss-reserve positions.

Any reserve equity gain from reinsurance transactions is removed from surplus, since the equity already is awarded through the calculation of loss-reserve equity. This is consistent with A.M. Best's treatment of statutory discounting and with efforts to treat loss-reserve equity consistently. The best example of this is retroactive reinsurance through a loss-portfolio transfer in which a company often pays the reinsurer assets equal to the present value of the loss-reserve portfolio plus a risk margin and then cedes the full-value loss reserves, producing a gain to surplus. However, because of accounting procedures, these loss reserves remain on the primary company's books, and the ceded reserves are treated as a negative liability.

Since the ceded reserves remain within the balance-sheet reserves, some form of adjustment is needed. Otherwise, the time value of money would be credited twice—once within surplus and once within the calculation of loss-reserve equity. In this case, A.M. Best removes the surplus gain from surplus, and the equity within these reserves is awarded through the discount factor within the calculation of reserve equity. A reserve risk charge still applies to these reinsured losses. Without additional stop loss, the primary company remains exposed to any potential adverse loss development on these reserves.

**Fixed Income Assets:** Surplus also is adjusted to reflect an insurer's fixed-income securities' market value. This allows for a better view of a company's current economic capital position. The pretax benefit of this adjustment is limited to caps of +10% and -15% of surplus, and the result is then tax affected.

**Debt and Surplus Notes:** A.M. Best's capital model emphasizes permanent capital and consequently will reduce a company's reported surplus for encumbered capital, which includes surplus notes and future debt-service requirements of an affiliated holding company. This reduction, in whole or in part, depends on the magnitude and dependence an insurance group has on debt-like instruments and their associated repayment features.

Both quantitative and qualitative factors are considered in the evaluation of debt. As part of the quantitative analysis, A.M. Best uses a separate model to assist in the determination of the amount of surplus credit given to surplus notes and debt instruments. The primary issue, which determines the level of credit given, is the term of the debt as compared with the length of time needed to pay the bulk of the policy liabilities. Usually, more credit is given to longer-term debt than to short term. Another key determinant is the company's rate of return compared

13

with the interest rate charged on the debt. A company should be earning more than its cost of capital to receive credit for the debt.

On a qualitative basis, issues such as where the debt is held vs. where the cash is used; the existence of other sources of income to offset the cost of debt; fixed-charge coverage; and the overall level of debt relative to the organization's total capital all are considered.

**Occurrence of a Catastrophe:** A standardized incorporation of a company's PML in the model highlights A.M. Best's concern that catastrophes are the No. 1 threat to solvency in the industry because of the significant, rapid and unexpected impact that can occur. While many other exposures can affect solvency, no single exposure can affect policyholder security more instantaneously than catastrophes.

To reflect this concern, all companies are subjected to a reduction in their reported surplus based on the higher of either a 100-year wind net PML or a 250-year earthquake net PML within the calculation of BCAR. The net PML exposure also is tax-affected with a 35% tax rate. The determination of these losses will be provided through A.M. Best's Supplemental Rating Questionnaire database and through discussions with management.

The information filed by companies within the Supplemental Rating Questionnaire is critical to the assessment of their capital strength. However, like any other component within BCAR, the PML response can be adjusted downward to reflect additional information provided by management. The PML response also can be adjusted upward if A.M. Best determines additional conservatism should be taken into consideration based on a review of the catastrophe study.

**Terrorism:** A.M. Best has surveyed companies about their terrorism exposure through the use of its terrorism questionnaire. Beginning in 2004, this topic will be included in the supplemental rating questionaire. The results are reviewed with the company, and a qualitative assessment of the company's capitalization is made. In the future, it is possible that a terrorism PML would be usable in the BCAR model in much the same manner as a natural catastrophe PML. In the near term, however, it is more likely that a deterministic approach might be used to determine exposure.

**Stress Test Adjustments:** A.M. Best may reduce a company's reported surplus further as part of its sensitivity analysis to reflect a number of stress-test scenarios. This analysis measures an insurer's prospective capital needs stemming from a number of off-balancesheet items, including commitments or guarantees to affiliates; outstanding litigation; excessive catastrophe losses not contained within an insurer's reinsurance program; and continued operating losses.

Companies with a high catastrophe exposure on a gross basis and a low exposure on a net basis will be subjected to additional stress tests related to the occurrence of such an event. Assuming an event occurred, reinsurance recoverables would increase by the difference in the gross and net PML, so this difference will be added to reinsurance recoverables. This adjustment also might increase the reinsurance dependence factor. In addition, in determining the appropriate risk charge for these recoverables, A.M. Best will assume the ratings of the reinsurers have been lowered two rating levels as a result of the event. The last adjustment to be made will be the addition of the net PML to the loss reserves.

Although these stress-tested BCAR results aren't published, they do impact A.M. Best's view of capitalization.

#### A.M. Best's Capital Adequacy Model: Two-Company Illustration

The BCAR summary section shown in the two-company illustration is intended to reflect the components of a company's net required capital generated by A.M. Best's BCAR model. Using Company A's BCAR output, the following observations can be made about its net capital requirement, adjusted surplus and BCAR ratio.

#### Company A Net Required Capital

In total, before the covariance adjustment, Company A's gross required capital generated from A.M. Best's capital adequacy model is \$44.1 million; consisting of 68% related to underwriting risk, 32% related to asset risk and less than 1% related to business risk.

Asset risk capital consists of total investment and credit risk capital. Total investment risk capital of \$10.1 million consists of: (B1) fixed-income security capital of \$3.0 million; (B2) equity security capital of \$6.0 million; and (B3) interest rate capital of \$1.2 million. The credit risk component (B4) is \$3.9 million. Typical of most insurers, Company A's equity security capital requirement is the largest risk component with the asset risk category.

Underwriting risk capital consists of loss reserve risk (B5) and net written premium risk (B6) with capital requirements of \$20.5 million and \$9.5 million, respectively. Typical of most insurers, underwriting risk generates two-thirds to three-quarters of the company's total gross required capital.

Business risk capital (B7) consists of off-balance-sheet risks and typically generates nominal capital requirement. Although not capital intensive, the off-balance-sheet components of business risk can have a material impact on surplus.

Consistent with the NAIC risk-based capital model, A.M. Best uses a covariance adjustment recognizing that each of the underlying risks aren't going to develop simultaneously and aren't fully interdependent or correlated. Company A's net required capital of \$25.4 million reflects a 42% covariance reduction to its gross required capital. Covariance reductions generally range from 35% to 45% for most insurers.

Net required capital, which is calculated as the square root of the sum of the required capital for each of the risk components squared (excluding business risk), is substantially less than the simple sum of the component capital charges.

#### Adjusted Surplus

Company A's adjusted surplus of \$32.6 million used within A.M. Best's capital model reflects a 32% increase to the reported surplus position after considering the three equity adjustments related to its unearned premiums, loss reserves and fixed-income securities on an after-tax basis.

#### Best's Capital Adequacy Ratio

The BCAR ratio is simply adjusted surplus divided by net required capital. Company A's BCAR ratio of 128.1 represents the value that would be reflected within each of A.M. Best's publications, including Best's Key Rating Guide and Best's Insurance Reports.

Company A's BCAR result is used for interpreting Company A's implied rating from a capitalization perspective only. On a purely quantitative basis, A.M. Best's capitalization standards suggest Company A's BCAR ratio supports a rating up to B++ (Very Good).

#### Specific Company Commentary

Company A, which is a small commercial

## A.M. Best's Capital Adequacy Model— Two Company Illustration

(\$ Thousands)

#### Recap of Net Required Capital (NRC)

	Compan	y A	Company B		
	Required		Required		
	Capital	% Gross	Capital	% Gross	
Risk Component	Amount	Capital	Amount	Capital	
Asset Risk:					
(B1) Fixed Income Securities	\$2,955	7	\$2,521	1	
(B2) Equity Securities	<u>5,989</u>	13	27,722	16	
Subtotal	8,944	20	30,243	17	
(B3) Interest Rate	<u>1,245</u>	3	3,943	2	
Total Investment Risk	10,189	23	\$34,186	19	
(B4) Credit	3,942	9	4,091	2	
Total Asset Risk	14,131	32	\$38,277	21	
Underwriting Risk:					
(B5) Loss & LAE Reserves	20,488	46	59,829	34	
(B6) Net Premiums Written	9,508	22	79,351	45	
Total Underwriting Risk	29,996	68	139,180	79	
(B7) Business Risk	16	0	9	0	
Gross Required Capital (GRC)	44,143	100	177,466	100	
Less: Covariance Adjustment	18,733	42	72,960	41	
Net Required Capital (NRC)	\$25,410	58	\$104,506	59	

**Recap of Adjusted Policyholder Surplus (APHS)** 

	% <b>to</b>		% to	
	Reported		Reported	
Surplus Adjustment	Amount	Surplus	Amount	Surplus
Reported Surplus (PHS)	\$24,650	100	\$109,900	100
Equity Adjustments:				
Unearned Premium Reserve Equity	2,835	11	10,100	9
Loss Reserves Equity	4,603	19	5,093	5
Fixed Income Equity	473	2	953	1
Subtotal	32,561	132	126,046	115
Other Adjustments:				
Surplus Notes	0	0	0	0
Off-Balance-Sheet Losses	0	0	0	0
Future Dividends	0	0	0	0
Potential Losses (incl. Catastrophes)	0	0	19,500	18
Adjusted Surplus (APHS)	\$32,561	132	\$106,546	97
Effective Tax Rate = 20.0%				
A.M. Best's Capital Adequacy Ratio	Company	/ Α	Compa	iny B
BCAR (APHS/NRC)	128.1		102	.0

Source: A.M. Best Co.

casualty insurance company, focuses its current underwriting on midsized manufacturing business written on a loss-sensitive basis. The company maintains marginally secure capitalization with a BCAR of 128.1, which lags many of its commercial-lines peers but supports its current B++ rating. The company's capital position reflects its much higher than average reserve leverage; heavy use of reinsurance; and aggressive investment portfolio, which are tempered considerably by its lessrisky, retrospectively rated business and the

# Investment Risk (B1/B2)

	(1)	Company A (2) Asset Risk	(3) (1)*(2)	(1)	Company B (2) Asset Risk	(3) (1)*(2)
Investments	Statement Value	Factor (%)	Required Capital	Statement Value	Factor (%)	Required Capital
Bonds:					(10)	
U.S. Government	\$21,410	0.0	\$0	\$88,700	0.0	0
Investment Grade (Class 1-2)	97,280	1.0 <sup>1</sup>	973	226,300	1.0 <sup>1</sup>	2,263
Below Investment Grade (Class 3-6)	18,790	3.9 <sup>1</sup>	733	0	3.0	0
Afffiliated	1,000	20.0 <sup>2</sup>	200	0	100.0	0
Total Bonds	138,480	1.4	\$1,906	315,000	0.7	\$2,263
Preferred Stocks:						
Nonaffiliated	1,650	15.0	248	0	15.0	0
Affiliated	0	100.0	0	0	100.0	0
Total Preferred Stocks	1,650	15.0	248	0	0.0	0
Common Stocks:						
Nonaffiliated	25,220	15.0	3,783	400	15.0	60
Affiliated	0	100.0	0	35,400	70.1 <sup>3</sup>	24,815
Total Common Stocks	25,220	15.0	3,783	35,800	69.5	24,875
Mortgage Loans	12,750	5.0	638	0	5.0	0
Real Estate	5,260	18.6 <sup>1</sup>	978	500	20.0	100
Cash	1,040	0.3	3	2,800	0.3	8
Other Investments	770	20.0	154	0	20.0	0
Total Investments	\$185,170	4.2	\$7,710	\$354,100	7.7	\$27,246
Spread of Risk Factor			<u>x 1.16</u>			<u>x 1.11</u>
Investment-Risk Required Capital			\$8,944			\$30,243

Notes:

1 Reflects a blended capital factor because of multiple captions that were collapsed for presentation.
2 Reduced bond affiliate charge from 100% to 20% to reflect special-purpose nature of investment in affiliate.
3 Reduced affiliated common stock charge from 100% to 70.1%, reflecting "excess" capital in downstream life/health affiliate.

Source: A.M. Best Co.

# Interest Rate Risk (B3)

Company A						
			120 B.P.	Potential		Interest-
	Estimated	Market	Interest-	Market	% Catastrophe	Rate Risk
Fixed Income Security	Duration	Value	Rate Rise	Depreciation	Exposure	Capital
Bonds	6.5	\$138,970	1.20%	\$10,840	10%	\$1,084
Preferred Stocks	7.6	1,675	1.20	153	10	15
Mortgage Loans	9.5	12,826	1.20	1,462	10	146
Totals		\$153,471		\$12,455		\$1,245
Catastrophe Exposure Percentage Calculation						
Gross PMI	C2	Liquid Assets	\$179 140	PMI /I iquid Assets	10%	
	φυ	Elquid Abboto	ψ170,140	I ME/Elquid /100010	1070	
Company D						
сотрапу в						
Company B			120 B.P.	Potential		Interest-
Company B	Estimated	Market	120 B.P. Interest-	Potential Market	% Catastrophe	Interest- Rate Risk
Fixed Income Security	Estimated Duration	Market Value	120 B.P. Interest- Rate Rise	Potential Market Depreciation	% Catastrophe Exposure	Interest- Rate Risk Capital
Fixed Income Security Bonds	Estimated Duration 3.5	Market Value \$316,191	120 B.P. Interest- Rate Rise 1.20%	Potential Market Depreciation \$13,280	% Catastrophe Exposure 30%	Interest- Rate Risk Capital \$3,943
Fixed Income Security Bonds Preferred Stocks	Estimated Duration 3.5 4.3	Market Value \$316,191 0	<b>120 B.P.</b> Interest- Rate Rise 1.20% 1.20	Potential Market Depreciation \$13,280	% Catastrophe Exposure 30% 30	Interest- Rate Risk Capital \$3,943
Fixed Income Security Bonds Preferred Stocks Mortgage Loans	Estimated Duration 3.5 4.3 6.5	Market Value \$316,191 0 0	120 B.P. Interest- Rate Rise 1.20% 1.20 1.20	Potential Market Depreciation \$13,280 -	% Catastrophe Exposure 30% 30 30	Interest- Rate Risk Capital \$3,943 -
Fixed Income Security Bonds Preferred Stocks Mortgage Loans Totals	Estimated Duration 3.5 4.3 6.5	Market Value \$316,191 0 0 <b>\$316,191</b>	120 B.P. Interest- Rate Rise 1.20% 1.20 1.20	Potential Market Depreciation \$13,280 - - \$13,280	% Catastrophe Exposure 30% 30 30	Interest- Rate Risk Capital \$3,943 - - \$ <b>3,943</b>
Fixed Income Security Bonds Preferred Stocks Mortgage Loans Totals	Estimated Duration 3.5 4.3 6.5	Market Value \$316,191 0 0 <b>\$316,191</b>	120 B.P. Interest- Rate Rise 1.20% 1.20 1.20	Potential Market Depreciation \$13,280 - - \$13,280	% Catastrophe Exposure 30% 30 30	Interest- Rate Risk Capital \$3,943 - - \$ <b>3,943</b>
Fixed Income Security Bonds Preferred Stocks Mortgage Loans Totals Catastrophe Exposure Percentage Calculation:	Estimated Duration 3.5 4.3 6.5	Market Value \$316,191 0 0 <b>\$316,191</b>	120 B.P. Interest- Rate Rise 1.20% 1.20 1.20	Potential Market Depreciation \$13,280 - - \$13,280	% Catastrophe Exposure 30% 30 30 30	Interest- Rate Risk Capital \$3,943 - - \$ <b>3,943</b>
Fixed Income Security Bonds Preferred Stocks Mortgage Loans Totals Catastrophe Exposure Percentage Calculation: Gross PML	Estimated Duration 3.5 4.3 6.5 ***********************************	Market Value \$316,191 0 0 <b>\$316,191</b> Liquid Assets	120 B.P. Interest- Rate Rise 1.20% 1.20 1.20 \$353,600	Potential Market Depreciation \$13,280 - - \$13,280 PML/Liquid Assets	% Catastrophe           Exposure           30%           30           30           30           30           30	Interest- Rate Risk Capital \$3,943 - - \$ <b>3,943</b>

November 24, 2003

absence of pyramided capital, with the company affiliates owned directly by a common holding-company parent. Further, although almost 50% of the company's gross capital requirement is generated from loss reserves, it also receives a larger adjustment to reported surplus after considering the buildup of substantial economic value embedded within its long-tail reserves.

The company maintains an aggressive investment strategy, with holdings in junk bonds, mortgage loans, real estate and common stocks aggregating more than 250% of surplus. Because of its long-tail business, the company's fixed-income portfolio has been structured long term and thus faces greater market-valuation sensitivity to rising interest rates. However, given the company's modest short-term needs for cash, interest-rate risk is minimal.

Capital required for credit risk is higher because of the company's large amount of reinsurance recoverables. These have been collateralized partially by letters of credit in connection with risk-free servicing-carrier business, or generally are placed with high-quality domestic participants. Despite these adjustments, the company still is very dependent on reinsurance, with adjusted recoverables representing more than

Credit Risk (B4)

200% of surplus and consequently generating a reinsurance dependence surcharge.

Loss-reserve leverage of four times surplus is 50% higher than companies of a similar size and mix of business. The company's loss reserves have an indicated overall deficiency of 14%, which is impacted heavily by its other liability business that has experienced considerable emergence of environmental and asbestos claims in its pre-1984 reserves. The company's overall reserve deficiency, however, is more than offset by the buildup of substantial economic value within its long-tail reserves. Further, the company's overall reserve capital charge has been tempered considerably, reflecting significant adjustments made for its substantial, loss-sensitive nationalaccount business. The company's capital requirements for premium are much less than those of its peers because its comparable premium leverage of 1.5 times surplus is tempered by its substantial block of less-risky losssensitive business.

#### Company B

Company B, a large, personal-lines insurance company, focuses its current underwriting on personal automobile and homeowners

	(1) Statement Value	Company A (2) Asset Risk Factor (%)	(3) (1)*(2) Required Capital	(1) Statement Value	Company B (2) Asset Risk Factor (%)	(3) (1)*(2) Required Capital
Receivable Balances:	¢010	5.0	¢46	¢16 600	5.0	¢0.20
Gross Agent Balances	2919	5.0	\$40	\$10,000	5.0	\$830
Reinsurance Recoverables:						
Foreign Affiliates	0	10.0 <sup>1</sup>	0	0	10.0	0
U.S. Insurers/U.S. Branches	37,950	5.0 <sup>2</sup>	1,898	17,600	10.0	1,760
Pools & Associations	4,990	10.0 <sup>3</sup>	499	0	10.0	0
All Other Insurers	10,070	10.0 4	1,007	3,100	10.0	310
Less: Schedule F Provision	2,430	10.0	243	100	10.0	10
Less: Funds Held by Company	1,100	10.0	110	0	10.0	0
Reinsurance Totals	49,480	6.2	3,051	20,600	10.0	2,060
Reinsurance Dependence Factor			x 1.25			x 1.00
Adjusted Reinsurance Recoverables			3,813			2,060
All Other Beceivables	1 800	4.61	83	27 300	<b>1 1</b> <sup>1</sup>	1 201
Total Credit Risk Required Capital	\$52,208	7.6	\$3,942	\$64,500	6.3	\$4,091

#### Notes:

1 Reflects a blended capital factor because of multiple captions that were collapsed for presentation.

2 Reduced reinsurance recoverable charge from 10% to 5% to reflect A+/A rating among domestic reinsurance participants.

3 Reduced \$10 million of recoverables due from pools and associations in connection with risk-free servicing carrier business.

4 Reduced \$5 million of foreign recoverables to reflect 90% credit for letter of credit offsets.

Source: A.M. Best Co.

# Loss & Loss-Adjustment Expense Reserve Risk (B5) (\$ Thousands)

Company A									i;		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	Carried				Adjusted	Adjusted	Baseline	Company	Company	Capital	Capital
	Reserves		Deficiency	Discount	Factor	Reserves	Capital	Size	Stability	Factor	Charge
Schedule P Line	%	\$ Amount	Factor	Factor	(3)*(4)	(2)*(5)	Factor	Factor	Factor	(7)*(8)*(9)	(6)*(10)
Homeowners/Farmowners	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.37	0.92	1.00	0.34	0
Personal Auto Liability	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.38	0.92	1.00	0.35	0
Commercial Auto Liability	11	10,500	1.05	0.89	0.93	9,812	0.38	0.92	1.10	0.39	3,803
Workers' Compensation	39.8	38,100	1.10	0.79	0.87	33,109	0.39	0.92	0.85	0.23	7,615
Commercial Multiperil	14.9	14,220	1.08	0.85	0.92	13,054	0.40	0.92	0.98	0.36	4,687
Medical Mal - Occurence	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.50	0.92	1.00	0.46	0
Medical Mal - Claims Made	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.44	0.92	1.00	0.40	0
Special Liability	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.45	0.92	1.00	0.41	0
Other Liability - Occurence	18.6	17,820	1.35	0.82	1.11	19,727	0.45	0.92	1.15	0.27	5,326
Other Liability - Claims Made	15.8	15,110	1.10	0.86	0.95	14,294	0.42	0.92	1.03	0.25	3,574
Products Liab - Occurrence	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.50	0.92	1.00	0.46	0
Products Liab - Claims Made	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.43	0.92	1.00	0.39	0
Property	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.44	0.92	1.00	0.41	0
Auto Physical Damage	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.44	0.92	1.00	0.41	0
Fidelity & Surety	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.44	0.92	1.00	0.41	0
Other	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.44	0.92	1.00	0.41	0
International	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.59	0.92	1.00	0.54	0
Reinsurance A	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.45	0.92	1.00	0.42	0
Reinsurance B	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.51	0.92	1.00	0.47	0
Reinsurance C	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.50	0.92	1.00	0.46	0
Total	100	\$95,750	1.14	0.83	0.94	\$89,996				0.28	\$25,005
						(	Growth Fa	ctor			x 1.00
Diversification Factor									x 0.82		
Adjusted Reserve Capital										\$20,488	

Company B											
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	Carried				Adj.	Adjusted	Baseline	Company	Company	Capital	Capital
	Reserves		Deficiency	Discount	Factor	Reserves	Capital	Size	Stability	Factor	Charge
Schedule P Line	%	\$ Amount	Factor	Factor	(3)*(4)	(2)*(5)	Factor	Factor	Factor	(7)*(8)*(9)	(6)*(10)
Homeowners/Farmowners	15	26,100	1.00	0.95	0.95	24,795	0.37	0.86	0.99	0.32	7,854
Personal Auto Liability	73.2	127,700	1.05	0.93	0.98	124,699	0.38	0.86	1.05	0.34	42,848
Commercial Auto Liability	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.38	0.86	1.00	0.33	0
Workers' Compensation	9.6	16,700	1.10	0.81	0.89	14,880	0.39	0.86	1.10	0.37	5,439
Commercial Multiperil	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.40	0.86	1.00	0.34	0
Medical Mal - Occurence	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.50	0.86	1.00	0.43	0
Medical Mal - Claims Made	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.44	0.86	1.00	0.38	0
Special Liability	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.45	0.86	1.00	0.39	0
Other Liability - Occurence	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.45	0.86	1.00	0.39	0
Other Liability - Claims Made	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.42	0.86	1.00	0.36	0
Products Liab - Occurrence	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.50	0.86	1.00	0.43	0
Products Liab - Claims Made	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.43	0.86	1.00	0.37	0
Property	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.44	0.86	1.00	0.38	0
Auto Physical Damage	2.3	4,000	1.00	0.94	0.94	3,760	0.44	0.86	1.00	0.38	1,438
Fidelity & Surety	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.44	0.86	1.00	0.38	0
Other	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.44	0.86	1.00	0.38	0
International	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.59	0.86	1.00	0.51	0
Reinsurance A	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.45	0.86	1.00	0.39	0
Reinsurance B	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.51	0.86	1.00	0.44	0
Reinsurance C	0	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0.50	0.86	1.00	0.43	0
Total	100	\$174,500	1.05	0.92	0.96	\$168,134				0.34	\$57,579
Growth Factor										x 1.13	
							Diversifica	tion Facto	r		x 0.92

**Adjusted Reserve Capital** 

\$59,829

Notes: Tempered reserve and premium capital factors to reflect a credit for loss-sensitive business. Source: A.M. Best Co.

# Premium Risk (B6) (\$ Thousands)

Company A										
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)			
			Baseline	Company	Company	Capital	Capital			
	Net Premiums	s Written	Capital	Size	Profit	Factor	Charge			
Schedule P Line	%	\$ Amount	Factor	Factor	Factor	(3)*(4)*(5)	(2)*(6)			
Homeowners/Farmowners	0	0	0.53	0.94	1.00	0.49	0			
Personal Auto Liability	0	0	0.36	0.94	1.00	0.34	0			
Commercial Auto Liability	13.9	5,220	0.39	0.94	1.00	0.37	1,932			
Workers' Compensation	30.7	11,490	0.40	0.94	1.02	0.26	2,987			
Commercial Multiperil	18.1	6,780	0.40	0.94	0.98	0.37	2,499			
Medical Mal - Occurence	0	0	0.41	0.94	1.00	0.39	0			
Medical Mal - Claims Made	0	0	0.35	0.94	1.00	0.33	0			
Special Liability	0	0	0.41	0.94	1.00	0.38	0			
Other Liability - Occurence	11.6	4,360	0.44	0.94	0.95	0.29	1,264			
Other Liability - Claims Made	25.7	9,630	0.37	0.94	1.03	0.26	2,504			
Products Liab - Occurrence	0	0	0.42	0.94	1.00	0.40	0			
Products Liab - Claims Made	0	0	0.36	0.94	1.00	0.34	0			
Property	0	0	0.48	0.94	1.00	0.45	0			
Auto Physical Damage	0	0	0.33	0.94	1.00	0.31	0			
Fidelity & Surety	0	0	0.33	0.94	1.00	0.31	0			
Other	0	0	0.33	0.94	1.00	0.31	0			
International	0	0	0.59	0.94	1.00	0.55	0			
Reinsurance A	0	0	0.54	0.94	1.00	0.51	0			
Reinsurance B	0	0	0.45	0.94	1.00	0.43	0			
Reinsurance C	0	0	0.53	0.94	1.00	0.49	0			
Total	100	\$37,480				0.30	\$11,186			
			Gr	owth Facto	or		x 1.00			
			Di	versificatio	on Factor		x 0.85			
Adjusted Premium Capital \$9										

Company B										
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)			
	Net Premiums		Baseline	Company	Company	Capital	Capital			
	Writte	n	Capital	Size	Profit	Factor	Charge			
Schedule P Line	%	\$ Amount	Factor	Factor	Factor	(3)*(4)*(5)	(2)*(6)			
Homeowners/Farmowners	13.3	30,600	0.53	0.90	1.06	0.50	15,395			
Personal Auto Liability	52.9	121,700	0.36	0.90	1.05	0.34	41,155			
Commercial Auto Liability	0	0	0.39	0.90	1.00	0.36	0			
Workers' Compensation	4.6	10,500	0.40	0.90	1.00	0.36	3,787			
Commercial Multiperil	0	0	0.40	0.90	1.00	0.36	0			
Medical Mal - Occurence	0	0	0.41	0.90	1.00	0.37	0			
Medical Mal - Claims Made	0	0	0.35	0.90	1.00	0.32	0			
Special Liability	0	0	0.41	0.90	1.00	0.37	0			
Other Liability - Occurence	0	0	0.44	0.90	1.00	0.40	0			
Other Liability - Claims Made	0	0	0.37	0.90	1.00	0.34	0			
Products Liab - Occurrence	0	0	0.42	0.90	1.00	0.38	0			
Products Liab - Claims Made	0	0	0.36	0.90	1.00	0.32	0			
Property	0	0	0.48	0.90	1.00	0.43	0			
Auto Physical Damage	29.2	67,300	0.33	0.90	1.06	0.32	21,444			
Fidelity & Surety	0	0	0.33	0.90	1.00	0.30	0			
Other	0	0	0.33	0.90	1.00	0.30	0			
International	0	0	0.59	0.90	1.00	0.53	0			
Reinsurance A	0	0	0.54	0.90	1.00	0.49	0			
Reinsurance B	0	0	0.45	0.90	1.00	0.41	0			
Reinsurance C	0	0	0.53	0.90	1.00	0.47	0			
Total	100	\$230,100				0.36	\$81,780			
			Gr	owth Facto	or		x 1.13			
	Diversification Factor x									
Adjusted Premium Capital \$7										

Notes: Tempered reserve and premium capital factors to reflect a credit for loss-sensitive business. Source: A.M. Best Co.

## **Business Risk (B7)**

Company A				Company B			
	(1)	(2)	(1)*(2)		(1)	(2)	(1)*(2)
	Statement /	Asset Risk	Required		Statement	Asset Risk	Required
Off-Balance-Sheet Item	Value	Factor %	Capital	<b>Off-Balance-Sheet Item</b>	Value	Factor %	Capital
Noncontrolled Assets	\$0	1	\$0	Noncontrolled Assets	\$8,587	1	\$9
Guarantees for Affiliates	0	1	0	Guarantees for Affiliates	0	1	0
Contingent Liabilities	1,465	1	15	Contingent Liabilities	32	1	0
Long-Term Lease	130	1	1	Long-Term Lease	8	1	0
Interest Rate Swaps	0	1	0	Interest Rate Swaps	0	1	0
Totals	\$1,595	1	\$16	Totals	\$927	1	\$9
Source: A.M. Best Co.				a			

business, principally in the mid-Atlantic region, and recently has been expanding aggressively into the Northeast. The company maintains thin capitalization with a BCAR of 102, which is well below its personal-lines peers and marginally supports its current rating of B+ (Very Good). The company's weaker relative capital position reflects its higher premium leverage; aggressive growth of its business in recent years; and dependence on pyramided capital, somewhat offset by its conservative investment portfolio. In addition, the company's gross probable maximum loss (PML) from a catastrophe occurrence is high. Although its gross PML is tempered by a costly reinsurance program, as well as by associated tax benefits, its exposure to catastrophes on a net PML basis remains high at 18% of reported surplus.

The company maintains moderate investment leverage driven by its high quality bond portfolio, which is offset largely by its substantial holding in a downstream life/health affiliate, with pyramided capital representing more than 30% of surplus. The capital charge for this holding was reduced from 100% to 70% to reflect the "excess" capital held in this life/health subsidiary, above the level required to support the subsidiary's current rating. The fixed-income portfolio has been structured short term, reflecting the company's short-tail book of business, and thus generates a nominal interest-rate charge. The company has credit risk charges reflecting its modest use of reinsurance other than for catastrophe coverage, which is consistent with its personal-lines book.

Company B's personal-lines loss reserves appear to be modestly deficient but receive lower capital charges than Company A's capital factors—prior to loss-sensitive adjustments because Company A is predominantly commercial casualty lines. Company B's heavy capital requirement for premiums, driven by its higher premium leverage of 2.1 times surplus, is exacerbated by a growth surcharge of nearly 15% stemming from its aggressive growth in exposure, which has averaged 20% to 25% in recent years. Finally, the company's capital position is weakened by its sizable, ongoing net exposure to catastrophe losses. The exposure to catastrophe losses, which has the effect of reducing the company's reported surplus by 18%, worsens the company's capital position and implied rating by more than one full rating category, and consequently, along with high growth in exposure, contributes to downward rating pressure on the company.

#### Conclusion

At a time when most of the industry faces declining capitalization relative to current ratings, the management of that capital is important. The tools to better allocate capital and understand capital strength continue to evolve. These tools often vary in theory, purpose and outcome. It is important to remember that, while they can add significant value, they are only tools.

A.M. Best's proprietary BCAR is one of those tools that looks at capital needs well above financial solvency. A.M. Best will continue to enhance BCAR to improve its accuracy in measuring balance-sheet and operating risk.

BCAR is important to A.M. Best's evaluation of both absolute and relative capital strength. Consistent with standards embedded within the BCAR model, it's expected that well-managed and highly rated companies will maintain capitalization levels in excess of the minimum amounts required to support their current ratings.

A.M. Best is quick to caution, however, that although BCAR is an important tool in the rating process, it isn't sufficient to serve as the sole basis of a rating assignment. BCAR, like other quantitative measures, has some limitations and doesn't necessarily work for all companies. Consequently, capital adequacy should be viewed within the overall context of the operating and strategic issues surrounding a company. Business profile and operating performance are important rating considerations in evaluating a company's long-term financial strength and viability, as well as the quality of the capital that supports the BCAR result. In addition, any holding-company considerations also will play a key role in evaluating the financial strength of an insurance company.

A.M. Best believes that well-managed and highly rated property/casualty insurers will continue to focus on the fundamentals of building future economic value and financial stability, rather than on managing one, albeit important, component of A.M. Best's rating evaluation.

# GUIDE TO BEST'S FINANCIAL STRENGTH RATINGS

A Best's Rating is an independent opinion, based on a comprehensive quantitative and qualitative evaluation, of a company's balance sheet strength, operating performance and business profile. Best's Ratings are not a warranty of a company's financial strength and ability to meet its obligations to policyholders.

Financial Strength Ratings													
A Best's Financial Strength Rating (FSR) is an opinion of an insurer's ability to meet its obligations to policyholders.													
	Rating	Descript	or						Defin	ition			
ecure	A++, A+	Superior		Assigned to companies that have, in our opinion, a superior ability to meet their ongoing obligations to policyholders.									
	A, A-	Excellent		Assigned to companies that have, in our opinion, an excellent ability to mean obligations to policyholders.							y to meet their ongoing		
Ň	B++, B+	Very Goo	od	Assigned to companies that have, in our opinion, a good ability to meet their ongoing obligations to policyholders.									
nerable	B, B-	Fair		Assigned to companies that have, in our opinion, a fair ability to meet their current obliga- tions to policyholders, but are financially vulnerable to adverse changes in underwriting and economic conditions.									
	C++, C+	Marginal		Assign obligat writing	ussigned to companies that have, in our opinion, a marginal ability to meet their current obligations to policyholders, but are financially vulnerable to adverse changes in under- vriting and economic conditions.								
	C, C-	Weak		Assign obligat underv	Assigned to companies that have, in our opinion, a weak ability to meet their current obligations to policyholders, but are financially very vulnerable to adverse changes in underwriting and economic conditions.								
	D	Poor		Assigned to companies that, in our opinion, may not have an ability to meet their current obligations to policyholders and are financially extremely vulnerable to adverse changes in underwriting and economic conditions.									
NN N	E	Under Regulator Supervisio	Assigned to companies (and possibly their subsidiaries/affiliates) that have been placed by an insurance regulatory authority under a significant form of supervision, control or restraint where- by they are no longer allowed to conduct normal ongoing insurance operations. This would include conservatorship or rehabilitation, but does not include liquidation. It may also be assigned										
	F	In Liquida	ation	Assigned to companies that have been placed under an order of liquidation by a court law or whose owners have voluntarily agreed to liquidate the company. Note: Compani- that voluntarily liquidate or dissolve their charters are generally not insolvent.									
	S Suspended Assigned to companies that have experienced sudden and significant events affecting balance sheet strength or operating performance and whose rating implications cann evaluated due to a lack of timely or adequate information								nt events affecting their implications cannot be				
Ratin	g Modifiers	s and Aff	iliation (	Codes	s								
A rating modifier can be assigned to indicate that a Best's Rating may be subject to near-term change (under review), that a com- pany did not subscribe to Best's interactive rating process (public data) and that the rating is assigned to a syndicate operating at Lloyd's. Affiliation codes (g, p, and r) are added to Best's Ratings to identify companies whose assigned ratings are based on group, pooling or reinsurance affiliation with other insurers.													
	woamer	Descript	or	A	1:4: e the			بأبداء لحمر		uon			
ng liers	u	Under Re	eview	A modifier that generally is event-driven (positive, negative or developing) and is assigned to a company whose Best's Rating opinion is under review and may be subject to change in the near-term, generally defined as six months.									
Rati Modi	pd	Public Da	ata	Assign Rating	ssigned to insurers that do not subscribe to Best's interactive rating process. Best's "pd" atings reflect qualitative and quantitative analyses using public data and information.								
	S	Syndicat	е	Assign	ned to s	syndicates	operati	ng at l	Lloyd's.				Γ
	Affiliation	Codes		g		Group		р	Poo	led		r	Reinsured
Not R	ated Cates	gories (N	R)										
Assigned to companies reported on by A.M. Best, but not assigned a Best's Rating. The five categories are:													
NR-1:	NR-1: Insufficient Data. NR-2: In			nsufficient Size and/or Operating Experience. NR-3: Rating Procedure Inapplicable.									
NR-4: Company Request. NR-5: Not Form					nally Fo	ollowed.							
Rating Outlook													
Best's interactive Ratings (A++ to D) are assigned a Rating Outlook that indicates the potential direction of a company's rating for an intermediate period, generally defined as the next 12 to 36 months. Rating Outlooks, which appear in the rating rationale section of the company's Best's Company Report, are as follows:													
Positive Indicates a company's financial/market trends are favorable, relative to its current rating level and, if continued, the company has a good possibility of having its rating upgraded													
Negative Indicates a company is experiencing unfavorable financial/market trends, relative to its current rating level and, if continued the company has a good possibility of having its rating downgraded													
Stable	Stable Indicates a company is experiencing stable financial/market trends and there is a low likelihood that its rating will change in the near term.												
Best's Ratings are distributed via press release and/or the A.M. Best Web site at www.ambest.com, and are published in the Rating													
Monitor section of BestWeek <sup>®</sup> . Best's Ratings are proprietary and may not be reproduced without permission. Copyright © 2003 by A.M. Best Company, Inc. Version 071503													

## **GUIDE TO BEST'S DEBT RATINGS**

A Best's Rating is an independent opinion, based on a comprehensive quantitative and qualitative evaluation, of a company's balance sheet strength, operating performance and business profile. Best's Ratings are not a warranty of a company's ability to meet its financial obligations.

#### Long-Term Credit Ratings

A Best's Long-Term Debt Rating (issue credit rating) is an opinion as to the issuer's ability to meet its financial obligations to security holders when due. These ratings are assigned to debt and preferred stock issues.

	Rating	Descriptor	Definition				
Investment Grade	aaa	Exceptional	Assigned to issues, where the issuer has, in our opinion, an exceptional ability to meet the t of the obligation.				
	aa	Very Strong	Assigned to issues, where the issuer has, in our opinion, a very strong ability to meet the terms of the obligation.				
	а	Strong	Assigned to issues, where the issuer has, in our opinion, a strong ability to meet the terms of the obligation.				
	bbb	Adequate	Assigned to issues, where the issuer has, in our opinion, an adequate ability to meet the ter the obligation; however, is more susceptible to changes in economic or other conditions.				
Non-Investment Grade	bb	Speculative	Assigned to issues, where the issuer has, in our opinion, speculative credit characteristics, gener- ally due to a moderate margin of principal and interest payment protection and vulnerability to eco- nomic changes.				
	b	Very Speculative	Assigned to issues, where the issuer has, in our opinion, very speculative credit characteristics, generally due to a modest margin of principal and interest payment protection and extreme vulnerability to economic changes.				
	CCC, CC, C	Extremely Speculative	Assigned to issues, where the issuer has, in our opinion, extremely speculative credit characteristics, generally due to a minimal margin of principal and interest payment protection and/or limited ability to withstand adverse changes in economic or other conditions.				
	d	In Default	In default on payment of principal, interest or other terms and conditions. The rating also is utilized when a bankruptcy petition, or similar action, has been filed.				

A.M. Best's Long-Term Credit Rating scale also is used when assigning a **Best's Issuer Credit Rating (ICR)**, which is an opinion as to the ability of the rated entity to meet its senior-most obligations.

Ratings from "aa" to "ccc" may be enhanced with a "+" (plus) or "-" (minus) to indicate whether credit quality is near the top or bottom of a category. A company's Long-Term Credit Rating also may be assigned an Under Review modifier ("u") that generally is event-driven (positive, negative or developing) and indicates that the company's Best's Rating opinion is under review and may be subject to near-term change. Ratings shown as *(italicized)* denote indicative shelf ratings.

#### Short-Term Credit Ratings

A **Best's Short-Term Debt Rating** is an opinion as to the issuer's ability to meet its obligations having maturities generally less than one year, such as commercial paper.

	Rating	Descriptor	Definition					
tment ade	AMB-1+	Strongest	Assigned to issues, where the issuer has, in our opinion, the strongest ability to repay short-term debt obligations.					
	AMB-1	Outstanding	Assigned to issues, where the issuer has, in our opinion, an outstanding ability to repay short-term debt obligations.					
nves Gr	AMB-2	Satisfactory	Assigned to issues, where the issuer has, in our opinion, a satisfactory ability to re short-term debt obligations.					
-	AMB-3	Adequate	Assigned to issues, where the issuer has, in our opinion, an adequate ability to repay short- term debt obligations; however, adverse economic conditions will likely lead to a reduced capacity to meet its financial commitments on short-term debt obligations.					
Non-Investment Grade								
	AMB-4	Speculative	Assigned to issues, where the issuer has, in our opinion, speculative credit characteristics and is vulnerable to economic or other external changes, which could have a marked impact on the company's ability to meet its commitments on short-term debt obligations.					
	d	In Default	In default on payment of principal, interest or other terms and conditions. The rating also is utilized when a bankruptcy petition, or similar action, has been filed.					

#### **Rating Outlook**

 Best's Credit Ratings (aaa to c and AMB-1+ to AMB-4) are assigned a Rating Outlook that indicates the potential direction of a company's rating for an intermediate period, generally defined as the next 12 to 36 months. Rating Outlooks are as follows:

 Positive
 Indicates a company's financial/market trends are favorable, relative to its current rating level, and if continued, the company has a good possibility of having its rating upgraded.

 Negative
 Indicates a company has a good possibility of having its rating upgraded.

 Stable
 Indicates a company is experiencing unfavorable financial/market trends, relative to its current rating level, and if continued, the company has a good possibility of having its rating downgraded.

 Stable
 Indicates a company is experiencing stable financial/market trends and that there is a low likelihood that its rating will change in the near term.

Best's Ratings are distributed via press release and/or the A.M. Best Web site at *www.ambest.com*, and are published in the *Rating Monitor* section of *BestWeek*<sup>®</sup>. Best's Ratings are proprietary and may not be reproduced without permission. Copyright © 2003 by A.M. Best Company, Inc. **Version 090203** 



A.M. Best Co., established in 1899, is the world's oldest and most authoritative source of insurance company ratings. Best's Ratings are the definitive symbol signifying the financial strength and operating performance of

the financial strength and operating performance of insurance companies worldwide. For more information, visit A.M. Best's Web site at *http://www.ambest.com*, or contact one of our offices:

#### A.M. Best Company

Ambest Road Oldwick, New Jersey 08858 Phone: (908) 439-2200 Fax: (908) 439-3296 http://www.ambest.com

#### A.M. Best Europe Ltd.

1 Minster Court, 11th Floor Mincing Lane London, England EC3R 7AA Phone: 011-44-207-626-6264 Fax: 011-44-207-626-6265 www.ambest.com.uk

#### A.M. Best International Ltd.

A.M. Best House 264 Northfield Avenue London, England W5 4UB Phone: 011-44-208-579-1091 Fax: 011-44-208-566-1789 www.ambest.com.uk

#### A.M. Best Asia-Pacific Ltd.

907, 9/F Shui On Centre 6-8 Harbour Road Wanchai, Hong Kong Phone: 011-852-2824-1107 Fax: 011-852-2824-1833 www.ambest.com.hk